

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA
“Francesco Brioschi”
POLITECNICO DI MILANO

**Interior $HW^{1,p}$ estimates for
divergence degenerate elliptic systems
in Carnot groups**

Bramanti, M.; Niu, P.; Zhu, M.

Collezione dei *Quaderni di Dipartimento*, numero **QDD 124**
Inserito negli *Archivi Digitali di Dipartimento* in data 22-5-2012



Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32 - 20133 Milano (Italy)

Interior $HW^{1,p}$ estimates for divergence degenerate elliptic systems in Carnot groups*

Maochun Zhu, Marco Bramanti, Pengcheng Niu

March 30, 2012

Abstract

Let X_1, \dots, X_q be the basis of the space of horizontal vector fields on a homogeneous Carnot group $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{R}^n, \circ)$ ($q < n$). We consider the following divergence degenerate elliptic system

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^N \sum_{i,j=1}^q X_i \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) X_j u^\beta \right) = \sum_{i=1}^q X_i f_i^\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

where the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$ are real valued bounded measurable functions defined in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$, satisfying the strong Legendre condition and belonging to the space $VMO_{loc}(\Omega)$ (defined by the Carnot-Carathéodory distance induced by the X_i 's). We prove interior $HW^{1,p}$ estimates ($2 \leq p < \infty$) for weak solutions to the system.

1 Introduction

Let

$$X_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_j}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

be a family of real smooth vector fields defined in some bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($q < n$) and satisfying Hörmander's condition: the Lie algebra generated by X_1, \dots, X_q spans \mathbb{R}^n at any point of Ω . Since Hörmander's famous paper [25], there has been tremendous work on the geometric properties of Hörmander's vector fields, see [29], [26], [21], [22], [23], [27], [28], and references therein. Meanwhile, regularity for linear degenerate elliptic equations involving vector fields has been investigated and many results have been proved, see for instance

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10871157 and 11001221), specialized Research Found for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 200806990032) and Northwestern Polytechnical University jichu yanjiu jijin tansuo xiangmu (No. JC 201124). **2000 AMS Classification:** Primary 35H05. Secondary: 35J45, 35B65. **Keywords:** Hörmander's vector fields, subelliptic systems, L^p estimates. **Corresponding author** Marco Bramanti

[20], [31], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [34], [27], [28] and references therein; as for subelliptic systems structured on Hörmander's vector fields, we can quote [18], [36], [32].

In this paper we consider divergence degenerate elliptic systems structured on Hörmander's vector fields in Carnot groups. Namely (here we briefly state our assumptions and result; precise definitions and assumptions will be given in § 2.1), let X_1, \dots, X_q be the canonical basis of the space of horizontal vector fields in a homogeneous Carnot group $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{R}^n, \circ)$; we consider the system

$$X_i \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) X_j u^\beta \right) = X_i f_i^\alpha \quad (1.1)$$

in some domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ where $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \mathbf{F} = (f_i^\alpha) \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$) is a given $N \times q$ matrix. In (1.1) and throughout the paper, the summation is understood for repeated indices. If the tensor $\{a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x)\}$ satisfies the strong Legendre condition (see (2.2)), by Lax-Milgram theorem the natural functional framework for solutions to (1.1) is the Sobolev space $HW^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$, so the regularity problem for (1.1) amounts to asking: if $\mathbf{F} \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})$ for some $p > 2$, can we say that $u \in HW^{1,p}$, at least locally? We will prove an affirmative answer to this question (see Theorem 2.7), under the assumption that the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$ belong to the space $VMO_{loc}(\Omega)$, with respect to the Carnot–Carathéodory distance induced by the vector fields. Under this respect, this result is in the same spirit as the L^p regularity results proved for nonvariational elliptic equations by Chiarenza-Frasca-Longo [15], [16], for elliptic systems by Chiarenza-Franciosi-Frasca [14] (see also [13]), and for nondivergence equations structured on Hörmander's vector fields by Bramanti-Brandolini [2], [3], while analogous regularity estimates in Morrey spaces have been proved for instance by Di Fazio-Palagachev-Ragusa in [19], and by Palagachev-Softova in [30]. However, the technique of the proof in the present case is completely different. Namely, while in all the aforementioned papers L^p or Morrey estimates are proved by exploiting representation formulas for solutions and singular integral estimates, in the case of subelliptic *systems*, even on Carnot groups, no result about representation formulas by means of homogeneous fundamental solutions seems to be known. Hence we have to make use of a different technique, which has been designed and exploited in a series of papers by Byun-Wang to deal with elliptic equations and systems, also in very rough domains: see [35], [8], [9] and references therein. Namely, the key technical point is a series of local estimates involving the *maximal function* of $|Xu|^2$ (§§ 4-5) which hold under an assumption of smallness of the mean oscillation of the coefficients. One of the tools used to prove these local estimates is the possibility of approximating, locally, the solution to a system with small datum and small oscillation of the coefficients by the solution to a different system, with *constant* coefficients (§ 3). In turn, the solution to a constant coefficients system on a Carnot group is known to satisfy an L^∞ gradient bound (see Theorem 2.10) which turns out to be a key tool in our proof. This result about systems with constant coefficients in Carnot groups has been proved by Shores

[32], and represents one of the main reasons why we have restricted ourself to the case of Carnot groups instead of considering general Hörmander’s vector fields.

This paper represents the first case of study of L^p estimates on the “subelliptic gradient” Xu for subelliptic systems. Di Fazio and Fanciullo in [18] have deduced interior Morrey regularity in spaces $L^{2,\lambda}$ for weak solutions to the system (1.1) under the assumption that the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$ belong to the class $VMO_X \cap L^\infty$, while Schauder-type estimates have been proved for subelliptic systems by Xu–Zuily [36].

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some basic facts about Carnot groups and state precisely our assumption and main results; in Section 3 we prove the approximation result for local solutions to the original system by means of solutions to a system with constant coefficients; in Section 4 we prove some local estimates on the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of $|Xu|^2$, and in Section 5 we come to the proof of our main result.

2 Preliminaries and statement of the results

2.1 Background on Carnot groups

We are going to recall here the few facts about Carnot groups that we will need in the following. For the proofs, more properties, and examples, we refer the reader to the paper [20], the books [1] and [33, Chaps. XII-XIII].

Definition 2.1 (Homogeneous Carnot groups) *A homogeneous group \mathbb{G} is the set \mathbb{R}^n endowed with a Lie group operation \circ (“translation”), where the origin is the group identity, and a family $\{D(\lambda)\}_{\lambda>0}$ of group automorphisms (“dilations”), acting as follows:*

$$D(\lambda)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda^{\alpha_1}x_1, \lambda^{\alpha_2}x_2, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_n}x_n) \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

for some fixed exponents $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n$. The number $Q = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$ is called the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{G} .

We say that a vector field $X = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j}$ is left invariant if for any smooth function f one has

$$X^x(f(y \circ x)) = (Xf)(y \circ x) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{G};$$

we say that X is k -homogeneous if for any smooth function f one has

$$X(f(D(\lambda)x)) = \lambda^k(Xf)(D(\lambda)x) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, x \in \mathbb{G}.$$

Let X_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be the unique left invariant vector field on \mathbb{G} which at the origin coincides with ∂_{x_i} . We assume that for some integer $q < n$ the vector fields X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q are 1-homogeneous and satisfy Hörmander’s condition in \mathbb{R}^n : the Lie algebra generated by the X_i ’s at any point has dimension n . Under these assumption we say that \mathbb{G} is a homogeneous Carnot group and that $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q\}$ is the canonical basis of the space of horizontal vector fields.

The properties required in the above definition have a number of consequences: the exponents α_i are actually positive integers, the Lie algebra of \mathbb{G} is stratified, homogeneous and nilpotent; the vector fields X_i have polynomial coefficients. Moreover, the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{R}^n is the Haar measure in \mathbb{G} .

Like for any set of Hörmander's vector fields, it is possible to define the corresponding *Carnot-Carathéodory distance* d_X , as follows.

Definition 2.2 (CC-distance) *For any $\delta > 0$, let C_δ be the set of absolutely continuous curves $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$\phi'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^q a_i(t) X_i(\phi_i(t)) \quad \text{with } |a_i(t)| \leq \delta \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$d_X(x, y) = \inf \{ \delta > 0 : \exists \phi \in C_\delta \text{ with } \phi(0) = x, \phi(1) = y \}.$$

The function d_X turns out to be finite for any couple of points, and is actually a distance, called Carnot-Carathéodory distance; due to the structure of Carnot group, d_X is also left invariant and 1-homogeneous on \mathbb{G} . Let

$$B_r(x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{G} : d_X(x, y) < r \}$$

be the metric ball of center x and radius r in \mathbb{G} . Since the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n is the Haar measure on \mathbb{G} , one has (writing $|A|$ for the measure of A)

$$|B_r(x)| = \omega_{\mathbb{G}} r^Q \tag{2.1}$$

where Q is the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{G} and $\omega_{\mathbb{G}}$ is a positive constant.

Next, we need to define the function spaces we will use in the following.

Definition 2.3 (Horizontal Sobolev spaces) *For any $p \geq 1$ and domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$, let us define the horizontal Sobolev space:*

$$HW^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{HW^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{HW^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} + \|Xu\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)},$$

having set

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} &= \| |u| \|_{L^p(\Omega)}, & \text{with } |u| &= \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N |u^\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{ and} \\ \|Xu\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} &= \| |Xu| \|_{L^p(\Omega)}, & \text{with } |Xu| &= \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^q |X_i u^\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we define the space $HW_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ as the space of functions u such that $u\phi \in HW^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and the space $HW_0^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ in the norm $HW^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Definition 2.4 (BMO-type spaces) For any $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$, let R_0 be a number such that $B_r(x) \Subset \Omega$ for any $x \in \Omega'$ and $r \leq R_0$. For any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $r \leq R_0$, let

$$\eta_{\Omega', R_0, f}(r) = \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega', 0 < \rho \leq r} \frac{1}{|B_\rho(x_0)|} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |f(x) - f_{B_\rho(x_0)}|^2 dx,$$

where $f_{B_\rho(x_0)} = \frac{1}{|B_\rho(x_0)|} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} f(x) dx$.

We say that f is (δ, R) -vanishing in Ω' (for a couple of fixed positive numbers δ, R , with $R \leq R_0$) if

$$\eta_{\Omega', R_0, f}(R) < \delta^2.$$

We say that $f \in VMO_{loc}(\Omega)$ if for any $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ and R_0 such that $B_r(x) \Subset \Omega$ for any $r \leq R_0$ and $x \in \Omega'$, we have

$$\eta_{\Omega', R_0, f}(r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

The function $\eta_{\Omega', R_0, f}$ is called the local VMO modulus of f on Ω' .

2.2 Assumptions and main result

The general assumptions which will be in force throughout the paper are collected in the following:

Assumption (H). We assume that \mathbb{G} is a homogeneous Carnot group in \mathbb{R}^n and $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q\}$ is the canonical basis of the space of horizontal vector fields in \mathbb{G} (see Definition 2.1).

We assume that the coefficients $\left\{ a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right\}_{\substack{i,j=1,\dots,q \\ \alpha,\beta=1,\dots,N}}$ (for some $N > 1$) in (1.1) are real valued, bounded measurable functions in Ω and satisfying the *strong Legendre condition*: there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\mu |\xi|^2 \leq a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) \xi_i^\alpha \xi_j^\beta \leq \mu^{-1} |\xi|^2 \quad (2.2)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{M}^{N \times q}$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Definition 2.5 We say that $u \in HW^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution to the system (1.1), if it satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) X_j u^\beta X_i \varphi^\alpha dx = \int_{\Omega} f_i^\alpha X_i \varphi^\alpha dx$$

for any $\varphi \in HW_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Recall that on a Carnot group the transposed of a vector field is just the opposite: $X_i^* = -X_i$. Hence the above definition of weak solution is consistent with the way the system (1.1) is written.

Remark 2.6 If $f_i^\alpha \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $u_0 \in HW^{1,2}(\Omega)$, then by assumption (2.2) and Lax-Milgram's theorem, there exists a unique solution $u \in HW^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ to system (1.1) such that $u - u_0 \in HW_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and the following a priori estimate holds (see [12, Chap. 8] for the elliptic case):

$$\|u\|_{HW^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})} + \|u_0\|_{HW^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} \right) \quad (2.3)$$

for some constant c only depending on \mathbb{G}, μ .

We now state precisely the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.7 Under the Assumption (H), let the $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$'s belong to $VMO_{loc}(\Omega)$ and let $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$, $2 < p < \infty$. Then there is a positive constant c depending on $\mathbb{G}, \mu, p, \Omega, \Omega'$ and the local VMO moduli of the $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$'s in Ω' such that if $\mathbf{F} = (f_i^\alpha) \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})$ and $u \in HW^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution to (1.1) in Ω , then $u \in HW^{1,p}(\Omega'; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\|u\|_{HW^{1,p}(\Omega'; \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} \right) \quad (2.4)$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.7, we will prove the following local result:

Theorem 2.8 Under the Assumption (H), for any $\bar{x} \in \Omega, R_0 > 0$ such that $B_{11R_0}(\bar{x}) \subset \Omega$ there exists $\delta = \delta(p, \mathbb{G}, R_0, \mu) > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R_0$, if the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$ are $(\delta, 8R)$ -vanishing in $B_R(\bar{x})$ and $p \in (2, \infty)$, then there is a positive $c = c(R, R_0, p, \mathbb{G})$ such that if $\mathbf{F} = (f_i^\alpha) \in L^p(B_{11R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})$ and $u \in HW^{1,2}(B_{11R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution of (1.1) in $B_{11R}(\bar{x})$, then $u \in HW^{1,p}(B_R(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\|Xu\|_{L^p(B_R(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B_{11R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})} + \|Xu\|_{L^2(B_{11R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)} \right) \quad (2.5)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.7 from Theorem 2.8. For fixed domains $\Omega' \Subset \Omega'' \Subset \Omega$, pick R_0 such that $B_{12R_0}(\bar{x}) \subset \Omega''$ for any $\bar{x} \in \Omega'$. For this R_0 and a fixed $p \in (2, \infty)$, let δ be like in Theorem 2.8. Since the $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$'s belong to $VMO_{loc}(\Omega)$, there exists $R \leq R_0$, R depending on $\Omega, \Omega', R_0, \delta$, such that the $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$'s are $(\delta, 8R)$ -vanishing in $B_R(\bar{x})$. Therefore by Theorem 2.8, (2.5) holds for any such \bar{x} and R . Next, we apply Caccioppoli's inequality (2.10), getting

$$\|Xu\|_{L^2(B_{11R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c \left\{ \frac{1}{R} \|u\|_{L^2(B_{12R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^2(B_{12R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})} \right\}$$

which inserted in (2.5) gives

$$\|Xu\|_{L^p(B_R(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c(R) \left\{ \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B_{12R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})} + \|u\|_{L^2(B_{12R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)} \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand, by Poincaré inequality (2.8) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^\alpha\|_{L^p(B_R(\bar{x}))} &\leq \left\| u^\alpha - u_{B_R(\bar{x})}^\alpha \right\|_{L^p(B_R(\bar{x}))} + \left| u_{B_R(\bar{x})}^\alpha \right| |B_R(\bar{x})|^{1/p} \\ &\leq cR \|Xu^\alpha\|_{L^p(B_R(\bar{x}))} + \|u^\alpha\|_{L^2(B_R(\bar{x}))} |B_R(\bar{x})|^{1/p-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\|u\|_{L^p(B_R(\bar{x});\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c(R, p) \left\{ \|Xu\|_{L^p(B_R(\bar{x});\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{L^2(B_R(\bar{x});\mathbb{R}^N)} \right\}$$

which together with (2.6) gives

$$\|u\|_{HW^{1,p}(B_R(\bar{x});\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c \left\{ \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B_{12R}(\bar{x});\mathbb{M}^{N \times q})} + \|u\|_{L^2(B_{12R}(\bar{x});\mathbb{R}^N)} \right\}.$$

A compactness argument then gives (2.4). \blacksquare

It is worthwhile to point out that, as we will see from the proof of Theorem 2.8 in § 5, the following bound, stronger than (2.5), is actually established:

$$\left\| \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) \right\|_{L^{p/2}(B_R(\bar{x});\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1/2} \leq c \left\{ \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B_{12R}(\bar{x});\mathbb{M}^{N \times q})} + \|u\|_{L^2(B_{12R}(\bar{x});\mathbb{R}^N)} \right\}, \quad (2.7)$$

where \mathcal{M} is the Hardy-Littewood maximal function (see §4).

Remark 2.9 *Note that what allows to exploit the VMO assumption on the coefficients is the fact that the number δ in Theorem 2.8 depends on R_0 but not on $R \leq R_0$, which allows shrinking R without changing δ , to get the (δ, R) -vanishing condition satisfied. Under this regard, our result is very different from those proved for instance in [9], [8] where the parameter δ possibly depends on R , which makes the (δ, R) -vanishing assumption hard to check.*

Dependence of constants. Throughout this paper, the letter c denotes a constant which may vary from line to line. The parameters which the constants depend on are declared in the statements or in the proofs of the theorems. When we write that c is an “absolute constant” we mean that it may depend on \mathbb{G} and N .

2.3 Some known results

The next result is taken from [32, Corollary 19]. See also [24], where the analogous parabolic inequality is proved.

Theorem 2.10 *Let $v \in HW^{1,2}(KR; \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a solution to the system*

$$X_i \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} X_j v^\beta \right) = 0 \text{ in } B(x_0, KR)$$

with constant coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$ satisfying (2.2) and some $K > 1$. Then $v \in C^\infty(B(x_0, KR); \mathbb{R}^N)$; moreover

$$\sup_{B_R(x_0)} |Xv|^2 \leq cR^{-2} \frac{1}{|B_{KR}(x_0)|} \int_{B_{KR}(x_0)} |v|^2 dx,$$

where the positive constant c depends on K, μ, \mathbb{G}, N but is independent of x_0, R and v .

We will use the following well-known result by Jerison (see [26, Thm 2.1] for the case $p = 2$ and [26, §6] for $p \neq 2$):

Theorem 2.11 (Poincaré’s inequality) *For $1 \leq p < \infty$ there exists a positive constant $c = c(\mathbb{G}, p)$, such that for any $u \in HW^{1,p}(B_R)$,*

$$\|u - u_{B_R}\|_{L^p(B_R)} \leq cR \|Xu\|_{L^p(B_R)}. \quad (2.8)$$

If $u \in HW_0^{1,p}(B_R)$,

$$\|u\|_{L^p(B_R)} \leq cR \|Xu\|_{L^p(B_R)}. \quad (2.9)$$

The previous theorem holds for a general system of Hörmander’s vector fields; in that case, however, some restriction on the center and radius of the ball B_R applies (see [26, Thm. 2.1]); on a Carnot group, instead, due to the dilation invariance of the inequalities (2.8) and (2.9), these hold for any ball B_R and with an “absolute” constant c .

The following result can be proved in a completely standard way by suitable cutoff functions (for the analogous elliptic version see for instance [12, Thm. 2.1 p.134]):

Theorem 2.12 (Caccioppoli’s inequality) *Let $u \in HW^{1,2}(B_R(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (1.1) in $B_R(\bar{x}) \subset \Omega$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on \mathbb{G}, N, R such that for any $\rho \in (0, R)$,*

$$\int_{B_\rho(\bar{x})} |Xu(x)|^2 dx \leq C \left[\frac{1}{(R-\rho)^2} \int_{B_R(\bar{x})} |u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{B_R(\bar{x})} |\mathbf{F}(x)|^2 dx \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

We will also make use of the following

Definition 2.13 (Space of homogeneous type, see [17]) *Let S be a set and $d : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a quasidistance, that is, for some constant $c \geq 1$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= 0 \iff x = y \\ d(x, y) &= d(y, x) \\ d(x, y) &\leq c[d(x, z) + d(z, y)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. The balls defined by d induce a topology in S ; let us assume that the d -balls are open in this topology. Moreover, assume that there exists a regular Borel measure μ on S , such that the “doubling condition” is satisfied:

$$\mu(B_{2r}(x)) \leq c\mu(B_r(x)), \quad (2.12)$$

for every $r > 0, x \in S$ and some positive constant c . Then we say that (S, d, μ) is a space of homogeneous type.

Remark 2.14 Note that in our context any Carnot-Carathéodory ball $B_R(x_0)$ is a d_X -regular domain (see for instance [4, Lemma 4.2]), that is there exists a positive constant c_d such that

$$|B_R(x_0) \cap B_r(x)| \geq c_d |B_r(x)| \quad \forall r > 0, \forall x \in B_R(x_0). \quad (2.13)$$

This implies that $(B_R(x_0), d_X, dx)$ is a space of homogeneous space. Moreover, a simple dilation argument shows that, in a Carnot group, the constant c_d , and therefore the doubling constant of $(B_R(x_0), d_X, dx)$, is independent of R .

3 Approximation by solutions of systems with constant coefficients

Notation 3.1 In order to simplify notation, henceforth we will systematically write the norms and spaces of vector valued functions as

$$\begin{aligned} & HW^{1,p}(B), \|u\|_{HW^{1,p}(B)}, \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B)} \text{ instead of} \\ & HW^{1,p}(B; \mathbb{R}^N), \|u\|_{HW^{1,p}(B; \mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B; \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})}, \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

In this section we will prove a couple of theorems asserting that a solution to a system (1.1) with small datum \mathbf{F} and coefficients with small oscillation, can be suitably approximated by a solution to a system with constant coefficients and zero datum. This approximation is one of the tools which will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 3.2 Under Assumption (H) (see §2.2), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $R_0 > 0$ there is a small $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu) > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R_0$, if u is a weak solution to system (1.1) in $B_{4R} \Subset \Omega$ with

$$\frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |Xu|^2 dx \leq 1, \quad \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} \left(|\mathbf{F}|^2 + \left| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right|^2 \right) dx \leq \delta^2, \quad (3.1)$$

then there exists a weak solution v to the following homogeneous system with constant coefficients:

$$X_i \left(\left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R}} X_j v^\beta(x) \right) = 0 \text{ in } B_{4R} \quad (3.2)$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |u - v|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

Proof. Let us first prove the result for a fixed R (and δ possibly depending on R), then we will show how to remove the dependence on R .

By contradiction. If the result does not hold, then there exist a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, and sequences $\left\{a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying (2.2), $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\mathbf{F}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that u_k is a weak solution to the system

$$X_i \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} X_j u_k^\beta(x) \right) = X_i^* f_i^{\alpha k}(x) \quad (3.3)$$

in B_{4R} with

$$\frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |Xu_k|^2 dx \leq 1, \frac{1}{|B_4|} \int_{B_{4R}} \left(|\mathbf{F}_k|^2 + \left| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right|^2 \right) dx \leq \frac{1}{k^2}, \quad (3.4)$$

but

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |u_k - v_k|^2 dx > \varepsilon_0^2 \quad (3.5)$$

for any weak solution v_k of

$$X_i \left(\left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} X_j v_k^\beta(x) \right) = 0 \text{ in } B_{4R}. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.4) and Poincaré's inequality (2.8), we know that $\{u_k - (u_k)_{B_{4R}}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $HW^{1,2}(B_{4R})$, then Rellich's lemma allows us to find a subsequence of $\{u_k - (u_k)_{B_{4R}}\}$, still denoted by $\{u_k - (u_k)_{B_{4R}}\}$, such that

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |u_k - (u_k)_{B_{4R}} - u_0|^2 dx \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.7)$$

$$Xu_k \rightarrow Xu_0 \text{ weakly in } L^2, \quad (3.8)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for some $u_0 \in HW^{1,2}(B_{4R})$. Since $\left\{ \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} , it allows a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{ \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, such that

$$\left| \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} - \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.9)$$

for some constants $\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$. By (3.4), it follows

$$a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \rightarrow \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \text{ in } L^2(B_{4R}), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, we show that u_0 is a weak solution of

$$X_i \left(\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} X_j u^\beta(x) \right) = 0 \text{ in } B_{4R}. \quad (3.10)$$

We start from

$$\int_{B_{4R}} a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk}(x) X_j u_k^\beta X_i \varphi^\alpha dx = \int_{B_{4R}} f_i^{\alpha k} X_i \varphi^\alpha dx \quad (3.11)$$

with $\varphi^\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, and take the limit for $k \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.4),

$$\int_{B_{4R}} f_i^{\alpha k} X_i \varphi^\alpha dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{4R}} a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk}(x) X_j u_k^\beta X_i \varphi^\alpha dx = \\ & = \int_{B_{4R}} \left[a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk}(x) - \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right] X_j u_k^\beta X_i \varphi^\alpha dx + \int_{B_{4R}} \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} X_j u_k^\beta X_i \varphi^\alpha dx \equiv A_k + B_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$|A_k| \leq c \left\| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk}(x) - \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \left\| X_j u_k^\beta \right\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \rightarrow 0,$$

because $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk}(x) \rightarrow \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$ in L^2 and $\{X_j u_k^\beta\}$ is bounded in L^2 . Finally, since $X u_k \rightarrow X u_0$ weakly in L^2 ,

$$B_k \rightarrow \int_{B_{4R}} \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} X_j u_0^\beta X_i \varphi^\alpha dx,$$

hence

$$\int_{B_{4R}} \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} X_j u_0^\beta X_i \varphi^\alpha dx = 0 \text{ for any } \varphi^\alpha \in C_0^\infty(B_{4R}).$$

By density, this holds for any $\varphi^\alpha \in HW_0^{1,2}(B_{4R})$, so u_0 is a weak solution to (3.10).

Now, let v_k be the unique solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} X_i \left(\left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} X_j v_k \right) = 0 & \text{in } B_{4R} \\ v_k - u_0 \in HW_0^{1,2}(B_{4R}) \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

(see Remark 2.6). By (2.2) and using $v_k - u_0$ as a test function in the definition of solution to (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \int_{B_{4R}} |X v_k - X u_0|^2 dx & \leq \int_{B_{4R}} \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} \left(X_j v_k^\beta - X_j u_0^\beta \right) (X_i v_k^\alpha - X_i u_0^\alpha) dx \\ & = - \int_{B_{4R}} \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} X_j u_0^\beta (X_i v_k^\alpha - X_i u_0^\alpha) dx \end{aligned}$$

since u_0 is a weak solution to (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{B_{4R}} \left(\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right) X_j u_0^\beta (X_i v_k^\alpha - X_i u_0^\alpha) dx \\
&\leq \left| \left(\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right) \right| \int_{B_{4R}} |X_j u_0^\beta| |X_i v_k^\alpha - X_i u_0^\alpha| dx \\
&\leq c(N) \max_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \left| \left(\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right) \right| \left(\int_{B_{4R}} |X u_0|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\cdot \left(\int_{B_{4R}} |X v_k - X u_0|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mu \left(\int_{B_{4R}} |X v_k - X u_0|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq c \max_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \left| \left(\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ijk} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right) \right| \left(\int_{B_{4R}} |X u_0|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.13)$$

Since (3.4) and the weak convergence $X u_k \rightarrow X u_0$ in L^2 give the bound

$$\|X u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf \|X u_k\|_{L^2} \leq |B_{4R}|^{1/2},$$

inequalities (3.9) and (3.13) imply

$$\|X v_k - X u_0\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow 0.$$

This convergence, the fact that $v_k - u_0 \in HW_0^{1,2}(B_{4R})$ and (2.9) imply

$$\|v_k - u_0\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.14)$$

By (3.7) and (3.14) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_k - (u_k - (u_k)_{B_{4R}})\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \\
&\leq \|u_0 - (u_k - (u_k)_{B_{4R}})\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} + \|v_k - u_0\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

On the other hand, $v_k + (u_k)_{B_{4R}}$ is still a weak solution to (3.6), hence (3.5) implies

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |v_k - (u_k - (u_k)_{B_{4R}})|^2 dx > \varepsilon_0^2,$$

which contradicts (3.15). So we have proved the assertion, for some δ possibly depending on ε, R, μ .

Let us now fix a particular R_0 , and let R be any number $\leq R_0$. Assume u is a weak solution to system (1.1) in $B_{4R} \Subset \Omega$ satisfying (3.1). Just to simplify

notations, assume that the center of B_{4R} is the origin, and define:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u}(x) &= \frac{R_0}{R} u \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right); \\ \tilde{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) &= a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right); \\ \tilde{f}_i^\alpha(x) &= f_i^\alpha \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right).\end{aligned}$$

Then, one can check that the function \tilde{u} solves the system

$$X_i \left(\tilde{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) X_j \tilde{u}^\beta \right) = X_i \tilde{f}_i^\alpha \text{ in } B_{4R_0}.$$

To see this, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{4R})$, let $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \frac{R_0}{R} \phi \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right)$; then $\tilde{\phi} \in C_0^\infty(B_{4R_0})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{B_{4R_0}} \tilde{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) X_j \tilde{u}^\beta(x) X_i \tilde{\phi}^\alpha(x) dx \\ &= \int_{B_{4R_0}} a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right) (X_j u^\beta) \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right) (X_i \phi^\alpha) \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right) dx \\ &= \left(\frac{R_0}{R} \right)^Q \int_{B_{4R}} a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y) (X_j u^\beta)(y) (X_i \phi^\alpha)(y) dy \\ &= \left(\frac{R_0}{R} \right)^Q \int_{B_{4R}} f_i^\alpha(y) (X_i \phi^\alpha)(y) dy \\ &= \int_{B_{4R_0}} \tilde{f}_i^\alpha \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right) (X_i \tilde{\phi}^\alpha) \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right) dx \\ &= \int_{B_{4R_0}} \tilde{f}_i^\alpha(x) X_i \tilde{\phi}^\alpha(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Also, note that the $\tilde{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$'s satisfy condition (2.2) with the same μ . Let $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu)$ be the number found in the first part of the proof, and assume that $u, \mathbf{F}, a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy (3.1) on B_{4R} for this δ ; then $\tilde{u}, \tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy (3.1) on B_{4R_0} for the same δ :

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{|B_{4R_0}|} \int_{B_{4R_0}} |X \tilde{u}(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{|B_{4R_0}|} \int_{B_{4R_0}} \left| (Xu) \left(D \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right) x \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|B_{4R_0}|} \left(\frac{R_0}{R} \right)^Q \int_{B_{4R}} |(Xu)(y)|^2 dy = \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |(Xu)(y)|^2 dy \leq 1; \\ & \frac{1}{|B_{4R_0}|} \int_{B_{4R_0}} \left(\left| \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \right|^2 + \left| \tilde{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} - \left(\tilde{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R_0}} \right|^2 \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} \left(\left| \mathbf{F} \right|^2 + \left| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right|^2 \right) dx \leq \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the first part of the proof, there exists a weak solution \tilde{v} to the following homogeneous system with constant coefficients:

$$X_i \left(\left(\tilde{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R_0}} X_j \tilde{v}^\beta(x) \right) = 0 \text{ in } B_{4R_0}$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{R_0^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R_0}|} \int_{B_{4R_0}} |\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

Then, the function

$$v(x) = \frac{R}{R_0} \tilde{v} \left(D \left(\frac{R_0}{R} \right) x \right)$$

satisfies

$$X_i \left(\left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R}} X_j v^\beta(x) \right) = 0 \text{ in } B_{4R}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |u(x) - v(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} \left| \frac{R}{R_0} \tilde{u} \left(D \left(\frac{R_0}{R} \right) x \right) - \frac{R}{R_0} \tilde{v} \left(D \left(\frac{R_0}{R} \right) x \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{R_0^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} \left| \tilde{u} \left(D \left(\frac{R_0}{R} \right) x \right) - \tilde{v} \left(D \left(\frac{R_0}{R} \right) x \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{R_0^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R_0}|} \int_{B_{4R_0}} |\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore proved that the assertion holds with δ depending on R_0 but independent of $R \leq R_0$. ■

The following technical lemma is adapted from [12, lemma 4.1, p.27].

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\psi(t)$ be a bounded nonnegative function defined on the interval $[T_0, T_1]$, where $T_1 > T_0 \geq 0$. Suppose that for any $T_0 \leq t \leq s \leq T_1$, ψ satisfies*

$$\psi(t) \leq \vartheta \psi(s) + \frac{A}{(s-t)^\beta} + B,$$

where ϑ, A, B, β are nonnegative constants, and $\vartheta < \frac{1}{3}$. Then

$$\psi(\rho) \leq c_\beta \left[\frac{A}{(R-\rho)^\beta} + B \right], \forall \rho, T_0 \leq \rho < R \leq T_1,$$

where c_β only depends on β .

We are going to enforce the previous theorem with the following

Theorem 3.4 For any $\varepsilon > 0$ $R_0 > 0$, there is a small $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu) > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R_0$, if u is a weak solution of system (1.1) in $B_{4R} \Subset \Omega$ and (3.1) holds, then there exists a weak solution v to (3.2) such that

$$\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|} \int_{B_{2R}} |Xu - Xv|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, we know that for any $\eta > 0$, there exist a small $\delta = \delta(\eta, R_0, \mu) > 0$ and a weak solution v of (3.2) in B_{4R} , such that

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \frac{1}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |u - v|^2 dx \leq \eta^2, \quad (3.16)$$

provided (3.1) holds.

Let us note that $u - v$ is a weak solution to the system

$$X_i \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) X_j (u^\beta - v^\beta)(x) \right) = X_i \left(f_i^\alpha(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right) X_j v^\beta \right) \quad (3.17)$$

in B_{4R} . For any $2R \leq s < t \leq 3R$, we choose a cutoff function $\varphi(x)$ which satisfies

$$0 < \varphi(x) \leq 1 \text{ in } B_{3R}, \varphi(x) \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_s, \varphi(x) \equiv 0 \text{ in } B_{3R} \setminus B_t$$

and

$$|X\varphi(x)| \leq \frac{c}{t-s} \text{ in } B_{4R}.$$

Taking $(u - v)\varphi$ as a test function, it follows by (3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \int_{B_s} |X(u - v)|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_t} \varphi(x) a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) X_j (u^\beta - v^\beta) X_i (u^\alpha - v^\alpha) dx \\ & = \int_{B_t} \left(f_i^\alpha(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right) X_j v^\beta \right) X_i ((u^\alpha - v^\alpha)\varphi) dx \\ & \quad - \int_{B_t} a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) (u^\alpha - v^\alpha) X_j (u^\beta - v^\beta) X_i \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of φ , Young's inequality and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_s} |Xu - Xv|^2 dx \\ & \leq c \int_{B_t} \left(|\mathbf{F}| + \max_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \left| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4R}} \right| |Xv| \right)^2 dx + \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_t} |Xu - Xv|^2 dx + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \int_{B_t} |u - v|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \int_{4R} |\mathbf{F}|^2 dx + \sup_{B_{3R}} |Xv|^2 \cdot \max_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \int_{B_{4R}} \left| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) \right)_{B_{4R}} \right|^2 dx \\
&+ \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \int_{B_{4R}} |u-v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_t} |Xu - Xv|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(s) &= \int_{B_s} |Xu - Xv|^2 dx, \\
B &= c \int_{B_{4R}} |\mathbf{F}|^2 dx + \sup_{B_{3R}} |Xv|^2 \cdot \max_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \int_{B_{4R}} \left| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) \right)_{B_{4R}} \right|^2 dx, \\
A &= \int_{B_{4R}} |u-v|^2 dx, \beta = 2,
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.3 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{2R}} |Xu - Xv|^2 dx &\leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{B_{4R}} |u-v|^2 dx + c \int_{B_{4R}} |\mathbf{F}|^2 dx \\
&+ c \sup_{B_{3R}} |Xv|^2 \cdot \max_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \int_{B_{4R}} \left| \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) \right)_{B_{4R}} \right) \right|^2 dx. \quad (3.18)
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.10, since $v - u_{B_{4R}}$ is still a solution to the system (3.2) in B_{4R} we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{B_{3R}} |Xv| &\leq \frac{c}{R} |B_R|^{-1/2} \|v - u_{B_{4R}}\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{R} |B_R|^{-1/2} \left(\|u - v\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} + \|u - u_{B_{4R}}\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

by (3.16), (2.8) and assumption (3.1) on u

$$\leq c\eta + c |B_R|^{-1/2} \|Xu\|_{L^2(B_{4R})} \leq c(\eta + 1) \leq N_0, \quad (3.19)$$

for some absolute constant N_0 when η is, say, any number ≤ 1 .

By (3.18) and (3.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|B_{2R}|} \int_{B_{2R}} |Xu - Xv|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{c}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |\mathbf{F}|^2 dx + \frac{cN_0}{|B_{4R}|} \max_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \int_{B_{4R}} \left| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) \right)_{B_{4R}} \right|^2 dx \\
&+ \frac{c}{R^2 |B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} |u-v|^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

by (3.16) and (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{c}{|B_{4R}|} \int_{B_{4R}} \left(|\mathbf{F}|^2 + \max_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \left| a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) - \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) \right)_{B_{4R}} \right|^2 \right) dx + c\eta^2 \\
&\leq c(\delta^2 + \eta^2) < \varepsilon^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for a suitable choice of η , and after possibly diminishing δ . This ends the proof. \blacksquare

4 Estimates on the maximal function of $|Xu|^2$

Definition 4.1 Let $B_R \Subset \Omega$. For every $f \in L^1(B_R)$, define the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of f by

$$(\mathcal{M}_{B_R} f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x) \cap B_R|} \int_{B_r(x) \cap B_R} |f(y)| dy.$$

Since (B_R, d_X, dx) is a space of homogeneous type (see Remark 2.14), by [17, Thm.2.1 p.71] the following holds:

Lemma 4.2 Let $f \in L^1(B_R)$, then

- (i) $\mathcal{M}_{B_R}(f)(x)$ is finite almost everywhere in B_R ;
- (ii) for every $\alpha > 0$,

$$|\{x \in B_R : \mathcal{M}_{B_R}(f)(x) > \alpha\}| \leq \frac{c_1}{\alpha} \int_{B_R} |f(y)| dy;$$

- (iii) if $f \in L^p(B_R)$ with $1 < p < \infty$, then $\mathcal{M}_{B_R}(f) \in L^p(B_R)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{B_R}(f)\|_{L^p(B_R)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{L^p(B_R)},$$

where the constants c_p only depend on p and \mathbb{G} (but are independent of B_R).

The last statement about the dependence of the constants requires some explanation. In any space of homogeneous type these constants depend on the two constants of the space, namely the one appearing in the ‘‘quasitriangle inequality’’ (2.11) and the doubling constant appearing in (2.12). In our case the first constant is 1 (since d_X is a distance) and the second is independent of R , by Remark 2.14. Hence c_p is independent of R .

Theorem 4.3 There exists an absolute constant N_1 such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $R_0 > 0$, there is a small $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu) > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R_0/2$, $z \in B_R(\bar{x}) \subset B_{11R}(\bar{x}) \Subset \Omega$ and $0 < r \leq 2R$, if u is a weak solution of (1.1) in $B_{11R}(\bar{x})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} & B_r(z) \cap \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|Xu|^2)(x) \leq 1 \right\} \cap \\ & \cap \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|\mathbf{F}|^2)(x) \leq \delta^2 \right\} \neq \emptyset \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

and the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x)$ are $(\delta, 4r)$ -vanishing in $B_R(\bar{x})$, then

$$\left| B_r(z) \cap \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|Xu|^2)(x) > N_1^2 \right\} \right| < \varepsilon |B_r(z)|. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon, R_0 > 0$; the number δ will be chosen later. By (4.1), there exists a point $x_0 \in B_r(z)$, such that for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{|B_\rho(x_0) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})|} \int_{B_\rho(x_0) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})} |Xu|^2 dx \leq 1, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{|B_\rho(x_0) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})|} \int_{B_\rho(x_0) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})} |\mathbf{F}|^2 dx \leq \delta^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Since $z, x_0 \in B_R(\bar{x})$ and $r \leq 2R$, we have the inclusions: $B_{4r}(z) \subset B_{5r}(x_0) \subset B_{11R}(\bar{x})$ and $B_{5r}(x_0) \subset B_{6r}(z)$. Then by (4.4) with $\rho = 5r$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{|B_{4r}(z)|} \int_{B_{4r}(z)} |\mathbf{F}|^2 dx \leq \frac{|B_{6r}(z)|}{|B_{4r}(z)|} \frac{1}{|B_{5r}(x_0)|} \int_{B_{5r}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F}|^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{6}{4}\right)^Q \delta^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Similarly, by (4.3) we find

$$\frac{1}{|B_{4r}(z)|} \int_{B_{4r}(z)} |Xu|^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{6}{4}\right)^Q. \quad (4.6)$$

By (4.5), (4.6) and the assumption on $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x)$, we can apply Theorem 3.4 (with u replaced by $(\frac{4}{6})^Q u$ and \mathbf{F} replaced by $(\frac{4}{6})^Q \mathbf{F}$) on the ball $B_{4r}(z)$ (recall that $r \leq R_0$) and obtain that for any $\eta > 0$, there exists a small $\delta = \delta(\eta, R_0, \mu)$ and a weak solution v to

$$X_i \left(\left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right)_{B_{4r}(z)} X_j v \right) = 0 \text{ in } B_{4r}(z)$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{|B_{2r}(z)|} \int_{B_{2r}(z)} |X(u-v)|^2 dx \leq \eta^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Also, recall the interior $HW^{1,\infty}$ regularity of v (3.19):

$$\|Xv\|_{L^\infty(B_{3r}(z))}^2 \leq N_0^2. \quad (4.8)$$

Now, pick

$$N_1^2 = \max \left\{ \frac{5^Q}{c_d}, 4N_0^2 \right\}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then we claim that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^2 \right\} \cap B_r(z) \\ & \subset \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{2r}(z)} \left(|X(u-v)|^2 \right) (x) > N_0^2 \right\} \cap B_r(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

To see this, suppose

$$x_1 \in \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) \cap B_r(z) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{2r}(z)} \left(|X(u-v)|^2 \right) (x) \leq N_0^2 \right\}. \quad (4.11)$$

When $\rho \leq r$, it follows $B_\rho(x_1) \subset B_{2r}(z) \subset B_{5R}(\bar{x})$, then (4.11) and (4.8) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B_\rho(x_1) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})|} \int_{B_\rho(x_1) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})} |Xu|^2 dx = \frac{1}{|B_\rho(x_1)|} \int_{B_\rho(x_1)} |Xu|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{2}{|B_\rho(x_1)|} \int_{B_\rho(x_1)} \left(|X(u-v)|^2 + |Xv|^2 \right) dx \leq 4N_0^2 \leq N_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

When $\rho > r$, since $x_1, x_0 \in B_r(z)$ we have $d(x_1, x_0) < 2r < 2\rho$; it follows $B_\rho(x_1) \subset B_{3\rho}(x_0) \subset B_{5\rho}(x_1)$. Then by Remark 2.14 and (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B_\rho(x_1) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})|} \int_{B_\rho(x_1) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})} |Xu|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{c_d |B_\rho(x_1)|} \int_{B_{3\rho}(x_0) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})} |Xu|^2 dx \\ & = \frac{5^Q}{c_d |B_{5\rho}(x_1)|} \int_{B_{3\rho}(x_0) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})} |Xu|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{5^Q}{c_d |B_{3\rho}(x_0) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})|} \int_{B_{3\rho}(x_0) \cap B_{11R}(\bar{x})} |Xu|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{5^Q}{c_d} \leq N_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

By (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$x_1 \in \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(Xu)^2 \leq N_1^2 \right\} \cap B_r(z). \quad (4.14)$$

Thus, inclusion (4.10) follows from the fact that (4.11) implies (4.14).

By (4.10), Lemma 4.2 (ii) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|Xu|^2)(x) > N_1^2 \right\} \cap B_r(z) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left\{ x \in B_{2r}(z) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{2r}(z)}(|X(u-v)|^2)(x) > N_0^2 \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{c}{N_0^2} \int_{B_{2r}(z)} |X(u-v)|^2 dx \\ & \leq c\eta^2 |B_{2r}(z)| = c2^Q \eta^2 |B_r(z)| \\ & = \varepsilon^2 |B_r(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed ε , we have finally chosen η so that $c2^Q \eta^2 = \varepsilon^2$ and picked the corresponding δ depending on R_0, μ and η , that is on R_0, μ, ε . This finishes our proof. ■

Corollary 4.4 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $R_0 > 0$, there is a small $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu) > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R_0/2$, $z \in B_R(\bar{x})$, $0 < r \leq 2R$, if u is a weak solution*

of (1.1) in $B_{11R}(\bar{x}) \Subset \Omega$, the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x)$ are $(\delta, 4r)$ -vanishing in $B_R(\bar{x})$ and

$$\left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|Xu|^2)(x) > N_1^2 \right\} \cap B_r(z) \right| \geq \varepsilon |B_r(z)|,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & B_r(z) \cap B_R(\bar{x}) \\ & \subset \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|Xu|^2)(x) > 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}|\mathbf{F}|^2(x) > \delta^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

5 L^p estimate on $|Xu|^2$

In this section we exploit the local estimates on the maximal function of $|Xu|^2$ proved in the previous section in order to prove the desired L^p bound. The starting point is the following useful lemma about the estimate of the L^p norm of a function by means of its distribution function.

Lemma 5.1 (See [10, p.62]) *Let $\theta > 0$, $m > 1$ be constants, $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for any nonnegative and measurable function f in Ω ,*

$$f \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ if and only if } S = \sum_{l \geq 1} m^{lp} |\{x \in \Omega : f(x) > \theta m^l\}| < \infty$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c} S \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq c(|\Omega| + S).$$

Lemma 5.2 (Vitali) *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of d_X -balls in \mathbb{R}^n with bounded radii. There exists a finite or countable sequence $\{B_i\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ of mutually disjoint balls such that*

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{F}} B \subset \bigcup_i 5B_i$$

where $5B$ is the ball with the same center as B and radius five times big.

The proof is identical to that of the Euclidean case, with the Euclidean distance replaced by d_X here.

Lemma 5.3 *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, C and D be two measurable sets satisfying $C \subset D \subset B_R(\bar{x}) \subset \Omega$, $|C| < \varepsilon |B_R(\bar{x})|$ and the following property:*

$$\forall x \in B_R(\bar{x}), \forall r \leq 2R, |C \cap B_r(x)| \geq \varepsilon |B_r(x)| \implies B_r(x) \cap B_R(\bar{x}) \subset D. \quad (5.1)$$

Then

$$|C| \leq \varepsilon \frac{5^Q}{c_d} |D|,$$

where c_d is the constants in (2.13).

Proof. For any $x \in C$, $C \subset B_R(\bar{x}) \subset B_{2R}(x)$, hence

$$|C \cap B_{2R}(x)| = |C| < \varepsilon |B_R(\bar{x})| < \varepsilon |B_{2R}(x)|.$$

On the other hand, by Lebesgue differentiation theorem, for a.e. $x \in C$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|C \cap B_r(x)|}{|B_r(x)|} = 1,$$

hence for a.e. $x \in C$ there is an $r_x \leq 2R$ such that for all $r \in (r_x, 2R)$ it holds

$$|C \cap B_{r_x}(x)| \geq \varepsilon |B_{r_x}(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad |C \cap B_r(x)| < \varepsilon |B_r(x)|. \quad (5.2)$$

By Lemma 5.2, there are $x_1, x_2, \dots \in C$, such that $B_{r_{x_1}}(x_1), B_{r_{x_2}}(x_2), \dots$ are mutually disjoint and satisfy

$$\bigcup_k B_{5r_{x_k}}(x_k) \cap B_R(\bar{x}) \supset C.$$

By (5.2) and (2.1), we know

$$|C \cap B_{5r_{x_k}}(x_k)| < \varepsilon |B_{5r_{x_k}}(x_k)| = \varepsilon 5^Q |B_{r_{x_k}}(x_k)|.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |C| &= \left| \bigcup_k B_{5r_{x_k}}(x_k) \cap C \right| \leq \sum_k |B_{5r_{x_k}}(x_k) \cap C| \\ &\leq \varepsilon 5^Q \sum_k |B_{r_{x_k}}(x_k)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{5^Q}{c_d} \sum_k |B_{r_{x_k}}(x_k) \cap B_R(\bar{x})| \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows since $B_R(\bar{x})$ is d_X -regular (see Remark 2.14). Moreover since the $B_{r_{x_k}}(x_k)$ are mutually disjoint the last quantity equals

$$= \varepsilon \frac{5^Q}{c_d} \left| \bigcup_k (B_{r_{x_k}}(x_k) \cap B_R(\bar{x})) \right| \leq \varepsilon \frac{5^Q}{c_d} |D|,$$

since, by assumption (5.1), $B_{r_{x_k}}(x_k) \cap B_R(\bar{x}) \subset D$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 5.4 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $R_0 > 0$ there is a small $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu) > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R_0/2$, if u is a weak solution of (1.1) in $B_{11R}(\bar{x}) \Subset \Omega$, the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x)$ are $(\delta, 8R)$ -vanishing in $B_R(\bar{x})$ and*

$$\left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^2 \right\} \right| < \varepsilon |B_R(\bar{x})| \quad (5.3)$$

(where N_1 is like in Theorem 4.3), then for any positive integer m ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^{2m} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_1^i \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}|^2 \right) (x) > \delta^2 N_1^{2(m-i)} \right\} \right| \\ & \quad + \varepsilon_1^m \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > 1 \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon 5^Q / c_d$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon, R_0 > 0$ and pick $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu)$ as in Corollary 4.4. We will prove this assertion by induction on m . For $m = 1$, we want to apply Lemma 5.3 to

$$\begin{aligned} C & := \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^2 \right\}, \\ D & := \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}|^2 \right) (x) > \delta^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_1 \geq 1$, $C \subset D \subset B_R(\bar{x})$. Also, by assumption $|C| < \varepsilon |B_R(\bar{x})|$. Let $x \in B_R(\bar{x})$ such that

$$|C \cap B_r(x)| \geq \varepsilon |B_r(x)|.$$

Then by Corollary 4.4

$$B_r(x) \cap B_R(\bar{x}) \subset D$$

hence by Lemma 5.3

$$|C| \leq \varepsilon \frac{5^Q}{c_d} |D|$$

which is our assertion for $m = 1$.

Now assume the assertion is valid for some m . Let u be a weak solution to (1.1) in $B_{11R}(\bar{x})$ satisfying (5.3). Set $u_1 = u/N_1$ and $\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}/N_1$, then u_1 is a weak solution of

$$X_i \left(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(x) X_j u_1 \right) = X_i \mathbf{F}_1$$

in $B_{11R}(\bar{x}) \Subset \Omega$, and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu_1|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^2 \right\} \right| \\ & = \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^4 \right\} \right| \\ & < \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^2 \right\} \right| < \varepsilon |B_R(\bar{x})|. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption on m , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu|)^2(x) > N_1^{2(m+1)} \right\} \right| \\
&= \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu_1|)^2(x) > N_1^{2m} \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_1^i \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|\mathbf{F}_1|)^2(x) > \delta^2 N_1^{2(m-i)} \right\} \right| \\
&+ \varepsilon_1^m \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu_1|)^2(x) > 1 \right\} \right| \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_1^i \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|\mathbf{F}|)^2(x) > \delta^2 N_1^{2(m+1-i)} \right\} \right| \\
&+ \varepsilon_1^m \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu|)^2(x) > N_1^2 \right\} \right|. \tag{5.4}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the assertion valid for $m = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu|)^2(x) > N_1^2 \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \varepsilon_1 \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|\mathbf{F}|)^2(x) > \delta^2 \right\} \right| \\
&+ \varepsilon_1 \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu|^2)(x) > 1 \right\} \right|. \tag{5.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (5.5) into (5.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu|)^2(x) > N_1^{2(m+1)} \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_1^i \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|\mathbf{F}|)^2(x) > \delta^2 N_1^{2(m+1-i)} \right\} \right| \\
&+ \varepsilon_1^{m+1} \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu|^2)(x) > 1 \right\} \right| \\
&+ \varepsilon_1^{m+1} \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|\mathbf{F}|)^2(x) > \delta^2 \right\} \right| \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \varepsilon_1^i \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|\mathbf{F}|)^2(x) > \delta^2 N_1^{2(m+1-i)} \right\} \right| \\
&+ \varepsilon_1^{m+1} \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu|^2)(x) > 1 \right\} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired assertion for $m + 1$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

We can finally come to the

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Fix R_0 , let $\varepsilon > 0$ to be chosen later, and pick $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu)$ as in Theorem 5.4. For $\lambda > 0$, let $u_\lambda = \frac{u}{\lambda}$, $\mathbf{F}_\lambda = \frac{\mathbf{F}}{\lambda}$. We claim that we can take λ large enough (depending on ε, u and \mathbf{F}) so that

$$\left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} (|Xu_\lambda|^2)(x) > N_1^2 \right\} \right| < \varepsilon |B_R(\bar{x})| \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_1^{kp} \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) > \delta N_1^{2k} \right\} \right| \leq 1. \quad (5.7)$$

Actually, since $\mathbf{F} \in L^p(B_{11R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{M}^{N \times q})$ with $p > 2$, we have $\mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))$ by Lemma 4.2. Applying Lemma 5.1 with $f = \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}_\lambda|^2 \right)$, $\theta = \delta$, $m = N_1^2$, $\Omega = B_R(\bar{x})$ and p replaced by $p/2$, there is a positive constant c depending only on δ, p and N_1 , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_1^{kp} \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) > \delta N_1^{2k} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq c \left\| \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}_\lambda|^2 \right) \right\|_{L^{p/2}(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))}^{p/2} \leq c \|\mathbf{F}_\lambda\|_{L^p(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^2 \right\} \right| \\ & = \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu|^2 \right) (x) > \lambda^2 N_1^2 \right\} \right| \leq \frac{c}{\lambda^2 N_1^2} \|Xu\|_{L^2(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can take

$$\lambda = c \left(\frac{\|Xu\|_{L^2(B_{11R}(\bar{x}); \mathbb{R}^N)}}{\varepsilon^{1/2} |B_R(\bar{x})|^{1/2}} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))} \right) \quad (5.8)$$

for some constant c depending on δ, p, N_1 , hence $c = (\varepsilon, R_0, p, \mathbb{G})$, and get (5.6) and (5.7) satisfied.

Next, by (5.6) we can apply Theorem 5.4 to u_λ for this large λ , writing

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_1^{kp} \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) > N_1^{2k} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_1^{kp} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_1^i \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) > \delta^2 N_1^{2(k-i)} \right\} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \varepsilon_1^k \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) > 1 \right\} \right| \right) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (N_1^p \varepsilon_1)^i \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} N_1^{p(k-i)} \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|\mathbf{F}_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) > \delta^2 N_1^{2(k-i)} \right\} \right| \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (N_1^p \varepsilon_1)^i \left| \left\{ x \in B_R(\bar{x}) : \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})} \left(|Xu_\lambda|^2 \right) (x) > 1 \right\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

by (5.7)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (N_1^p \varepsilon_1)^i (1 + |B_R(\bar{x})|) \\ &< 1 + |B_R(\bar{x})| \end{aligned}$$

taking ε so that $N_1^p \varepsilon_1 = 1/2$. We have finally chosen ε small enough, depending on p and \mathbb{G} , and a corresponding $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R_0, \mu) = \delta(p, \mathbb{G}, R_0, \mu)$.

Therefore we can apply Lemma 5.1 to $f = \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|Xu_\lambda|^2)(x)$ and $m = N_1^2$ getting

$$\left\| \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|Xu_\lambda|^2) \right\|_{L^{p/2}(B_R(\bar{x}))}^{p/2} \leq c(1 + R^Q)$$

with $c = c(p, \mathbb{G})$, which by (5.8) implies

$$\left\| \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}(|Xu|^2) \right\|_{L^{p/2}(B_R(\bar{x}))}^{1/2} \leq c \left\{ \|Xu\|_{L^2(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))} \right\}$$

with $c = c(R, R_0, p, \mathbb{G})$, and recalling that $|f(x)| \leq \mathcal{M}_{B_{11R}(\bar{x})}f(x)$ for a.e. x , we get

$$\|Xu\|_{L^p(B_R(\bar{x}))} \leq c \left\{ \|Xu\|_{L^2(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(B_{11R}(\bar{x}))} \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.8. ■

References

- [1] A. Bonfiglioli, E. Lanconelli, F. Uguzzoni: Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [2] M. Bramanti, L. Brandolini: L^p estimates for uniformly hypoelliptic operators with discontinuous coefficients on homogeneous groups. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 58 (2000), no. 4, 389–433 (2003).
- [3] M. Bramanti, L. Brandolini: L^p -estimates for nonvariational hypoelliptic operators with VMO coefficients, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 352 (2000), 781–822.
- [4] M. Bramanti, L. Brandolini: Estimates of BMO type for singular integrals on spaces of homogeneous type and applications to hypoelliptic PDES. Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 21 (2005), 511–556.
- [5] M. Bramanti, L. Brandolini: Schauder estimates for parabolic nondivergence operator of Hormander type. J. Diff. Eqs., 234 (2007) 177–245.
- [6] M. Bramanti, L. Brandolini, E. Lanconelli, F. Uguzzoni: Non-divergence equations structured on Hörmander vector fields: heat kernels and Harnack inequalities. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 204 (2010), no. 961.

- [7] M. Bramanti, M. Zhu: L^p and Schauder estimates for nonvariational operators structured on Hörmander's vector fields with drift. Submitted Preprint, 2011. ArXiv: 1103.5116v1.
- [8] S. Byun, L. Wang: Elliptic equations with BMO coefficients in Reifenberg domains. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 57(2004), 1283-1310.
- [9] S. Byun, L. Wang: Gradient estimates for elliptic systems in non-smooth domains. *Math. Ann.*, 241 (2008), 629-650.
- [10] L. A. Caffarelli, X. Cabré: Fully nonlinear elliptic equations. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publication 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [11] L. Capogna, N. Garofalo: Regularity of minimizers of the calculus of variations in Carnot groups via hypoellipticity of systems of Hörmander type. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 5 (2003), no. 1, 1–40.
- [12] Y. Z.Chen, L. C. Wu: Second order Elliptic Equations and Elliptic Systems, *Transl. Math. Monogr.*, vol. 174, Amer. Math.Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [13] F. Chiarenza: L^p regularity for systems of PDEs, with coefficients in VMO. *Nonlinear analysis, function spaces and applications*, Vol. 5 (Prague, 1994), 1–32, Prometheus, Prague, 1994.
- [14] F. Chiarenza, M. Franciosi, M. Frasca: L^p -estimates for linear elliptic systems with discontinuous coefficients. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (9) Mat. Appl.* 5 (1994), no. 1, 27–32.
- [15] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, P. Longo: Interior $W^{2,p}$ -estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Ricerche di Mat.* XL (1991), 149-168.
- [16] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, P. Longo: $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for non divergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients, *Trans. of Am. Math. Soc.*, 336 (1993), n. 1, 841-853.
- [17] R. Coifman, G. Weiss: *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 242. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [18] G. Di Fazio, M. S. Fanciullo: Gradient estimates for elliptic systems in Carnot-Caratheodory spaces. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolina*, 43 (2002) 605–618.
- [19] G. Di Fazio, D. K. Palagachev, M. A. Ragusa: Global Morrey Regularity of Strong Solutions to the Dirichlet Problem for Elliptic Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 166, 179-196 (1999).

- [20] G. B. Folland: Sub-elliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups, *Ark Mat.*, 13 (1975), 161-207.
- [21] B. Franchi, E. Lanconelli: Hölder regularity theorem for a class of linear nonuniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 10 (1983), 523–541.
- [22] B. Franchi, G. Lu, R. Wheeden: Weighted Poincaré inequalities for Hörmander vector fields and local regularity for a class of degenerate elliptic equations. *Potential Anal.*, 4 (1995), 361-375.
- [23] N. Garofalo, D. Nhieu: Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 49(1996), 1081-1144.
- [24] E. Heller Martin, E. Shores: Regularity theory for weak solutions for parabolic systems in Carnot groups. Submitted Preprint.
- [25] L. Hörmander: Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. *Acta Math.*, 119 (1967), 147-171.
- [26] D. Jerison: The Poincaré inequality for vector fields satisfying Hörmander’s condition. *Duke Math. J.*, 53 (1986), 503-523.
- [27] G. Lu: Weighted Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities for vector fields satisfying Hörmander’s condition and applications. *Revista Mate. Iberoamer.*, 8 (1992), 367-439.
- [28] G. Lu: Existence and size estimates for the Green’s function of differential operators constructed from degenerate vector fields, *Comm. P. D. E.*, 17(1992), 7&8, 1213-1251.
- [29] A. Nagel, E. M. Stein, S. Wainger: Balls and metrics defined by vector fields I: Basic properties. *Acta Math.*, 155 (1985), 130-147.
- [30] D.K. Palagachev, L. G. Softova: Singular Integral Operators, Morrey Spaces and Fine Regularity of Solutions to PDE’s, *Potential Analysis* 20: 237–263, 2004.
- [31] L. P. Rothschild-E. M. Stein: Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups. *Acta Math.*, 137 (1976), 247-320.
- [32] E. Shores, Hypoellipticity for linear degenerate elliptic systems in Carnot groups and applications, arXiv: mathAP/0502569.
- [33] E. M. Stein: Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals. Princeton Mathematical Series, 43. Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [34] S. Tang, P. Niu: Morrey estimates for parabolic nondivergence operators of Hörmander type, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 123 (2010), 91-129.

- [35] L. Wang: A Geometric approach to the Calderon-Zygmund Estimates. *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, Vol 19 (2003), 381-396.
- [36] C-J. Xu, C. Zuily: Higher interior regularity for quasilinear subelliptic systems. *Calc. Var. P. D. E.*, 5 (1997), 323-343.

Maochun Zhu and Pengcheng Niu
Department of Applied Mathematics,
Northwestern Polytechnical University
Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710129, CHINA
zhumaochun2006@126.com
pengchengniu@nwpu.edu.cn

Marco Bramanti
Dipartimento di Matematica,
Politecnico di Milano
Via Bonardi 9. 20133 Milano, ITALY
marco.bramanti@polimi.it