DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

On the geometry of gradient Einstein-type manifolds

Catino, G.; Mastrolia, P.; Monticelli, D.D.; Rigoli, M.

Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32 - 20133 Milano (Italy)

ON THE GEOMETRY OF GRADIENT EINSTEIN-TYPE MANIFOLDS

Giovanni Catino[1], Paolo Mastrolia[2], Dario D. Monticelli[3] and Marco Rigoli[4],

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce the notion of Einstein-type structure on a Riemannian manifold (M, g), unifying various particular cases recently studied in the literature, like gradient Ricci solitons or Yamabe solitons. We show that these generale structures can be locally classified when the Bach tensor is null. In particular, we extend a recent result of Cao and Chen [8].

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In the last years there has been an increasing interest in the study of Riemannian manifolds endowed with metrics satisfying some structural equations, possibly involving curvature and some globally defined vector fields. These objects naturally arise in several different frameworks; two of the most important and well studied examples are *Einstein metrics* (see e.g. [29], [2], [46], [47], [32]) and *Ricci solitons* (see e.g. [25], [28], [39], [22], [38], [48], [37], [40], [10], [7], [14], [42], [11], [13], [8], [6], [33], [5] and references therein). Other examples are, for instance, *Ricci almost solitons* ([41]), *Yamabe solitons* ([19], [31],[21], [9]), *Yamabe quasi-solitons* ([27], [45]), *conformal gradient solitons* ([43], [15]), *quasi-Einstein manifolds* ([30], [12], [16], [26], [35]), *ρ-Einstein solitons* ([17], [18]).

In this paper we study Riemannian manifolds satisfying a general structural condition that includes all the aforementioned examples as particular cases.

Towards this aim we consider a smooth, connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $m \geq 3$, and we denote with Ric and S the corresponding *Ricci tensor* and *scalar curvature*, respectively (see the next section for the details). We denote with $\mathrm{Hess}(f)$ the Hessian of a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and with $\mathcal{L}_X g$ the Lie derivative of the metric g in the direction of the vector field X. We introduce the following

Definition 1.1. *We say that* (M, g) *is an* Einstein-type manifold *if there exist* $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ *and* $\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$ *such that*

$$\alpha \operatorname{Ric} + \frac{\beta}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g + \mu X^\flat \otimes X^\flat = (\rho S + \lambda)g, \tag{1.1}$$

for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$, *with* $(\alpha, \beta, \mu) \neq (0, 0, 0)$. *If* $X = \nabla f$ *for some* $f \in C^\infty(M)$, *we say that* (M, g) *is a* gradient Einstein-type manifold. *Accordingly equation* (1.1) *becomes*

$$\alpha \operatorname{Ric} + \beta \operatorname{Hess}(f) + \mu df \otimes df = (\rho S + \lambda)g, \tag{1.2}$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

Here $X^\flat$ denotes the 1-form metrically dual to X.

In the present paper we focus our analysis on the gradient case, postponing the general case to a subsequent work.

Leaving aside the case $\beta = 0$ that will be addressed separately, see Proposition 7.7 below, we say that the gradient Einstein-type manifold (M, g) is *nondegenerate* if $\beta \neq 0$ and $\beta^2 \neq (m-2)\alpha\mu$; otherwise, that is if $\beta \neq 0$ and $\beta^2 = (m-2)\alpha\mu$ we have a *degenerate* gradient Einstein-type manifold. Note that, in this last case, necessarily α and μ are not null. The above terminology is justified by the next observation:

<div style="text-align:center">

(M, g) is conformally Einstein if and only if

(1.3) for some $\alpha, \beta, \mu \neq 0$, (M, g) is a degenerate, gradient Einstein-type manifold.

</div>

$\boxed{121}$

For the notion of conformally Einstein manifold see Section 2 below. In case f is constant then we say that the Einstein-type structure is *trivial*. Note that, since $m \geq 3$, in this case (M, g) is Einstein. However, the converse is generally false; indeed, if (M, g) is Einstein, then for some constant $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{Ric} = \Lambda g$ and inserting into (1.2) we obtain

$$\beta \, \mathrm{Hess}(f) + \mu df \otimes df = (\rho S + \lambda - \Lambda \alpha)g.$$

Thus, if $\rho \neq 0$, (M, g) is a Yamabe quasi-soliton and f is not necessarily constant.

We will also deal with the case $\alpha = 0$ separately, see Theorem 1.4 below.

As we have already noted, the class of manifolds satisfying Definition 1.1 gives rise to the previously quoted examples by specifying, in general not in a unique way, the values of the parameters and possibly the function λ. In particular we have:

(1) Einstein metrics: $(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho) = \left(1, 0, 0, \frac{1}{m}\right), \lambda = 0$ (or,equivalently for $m \geq 3$, $\rho = 0$ and $\lambda = \frac{S}{m}$);
(2) Ricci solitons: $(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho) = (1, 1, 0, 0), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
(3) Ricci almost solitons: $(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho) = (1, 1, 0, 0), \lambda \in C^\infty(M)$;
(4) Yamabe solitons: $(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho) = (0, 1, 0, 1), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
(5) Yamabe quasi-solitons: $(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho) = \left(0, 1, -\frac{1}{k}, 1\right), k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
(6) conformal gradient solitons: $(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho) = (0, 1, 0, 0), \lambda \in C^\infty(M)$;
(7) quasi-Einstein metrics: $(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho) = \left(1, 1, -\frac{1}{k}, 0\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, k \neq 0$;
(8) ρ-Einstein solitons: $(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho) = (1, 1, 0, \rho), \rho \neq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Of course one may wonder about the existence of Einstein-type structures. We know from the literature positive answers to the various examples the we mentioned earlier. For the general case we will consider three different necessary conditions; the first two are the general integrability conditions (6.5) and (6.6) contained in Theorem 6.4 below. The third comes from the simple observation that, tracing equation (1.2) and defining $u = e^{\frac{\mu}{\beta}f}$, the existence of a gradient Einstein-type structure on (M, g) yields the existence of a positive solution of

$$Lu = \Delta u - \frac{\mu}{\beta}[m\lambda + (m\rho - \alpha)S]u = 0,$$

so that, by a well-known spectral result (see for instance Fischer-Colbrie-Schoen [23], or Moss-Piepenbrink [36]), the operator L is stable, or, in other words, the spectral radius of L, $\lambda_1^L(M)$, is nonnegative.

In Section 3 below we shall give some simple conditions on the function $\frac{\mu}{\beta}[m\lambda + (m\rho - \alpha)S]$ that prevent this possibility, so that the corresponding Einstein-type structure cannot exist.

As it appears from Definition 1.1, the fact that (M, g) is an Einstein-type manifold can be interpreted as a prescribed condition on the Ricci tensor of g (see for instance the nice survey [4]), that is, on the "trace part" of the Riemann tensor. Thus, it is reasonable to expect classification and rigidity results for these structures only assuming further conditions on the traceless part of the Riemann tensor, i.e. on the Weyl tensor. Indeed, most of the aforementioned papers pursue this direction, for instance, assuming that (M, g) is locally conformally flat or has harmonic Weyl tensor. In the spirit of the recent work of H.-D. Cao and Q. Chen [8], we study the class of gradient Einstein-type manifolds with vanishing Bach tensor along the integral curves of f. We note that this condition is weaker than local conformal flatness (see Section 2).

It turns out that, as in the case of gradient Ricci solitons (see [7], [8] and [6]), the leading actor is a three tensor, D, that plays a fundamental role in relating the Einstein-type structure to the geometry of

the underlying manifold. D naturally appears when writing the first two integrability conditions for the structure defining the differential system (1.2). Quite unexpectedly, the constant ρ and the function λ have no influence on this relation.

Our main purpose is to give local characterizations of complete, noncompact, nondegenerate gradient Einstein-type manifolds. Denoting with B the Bach tensor of (M, g) (see Section 2), our first result is

<div style="border:1px solid; display:inline-block; padding:2px">TH_Main</div>

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a complete, noncompact, nondegenerate gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. If $B(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0$ and f is a proper function, then, in a neighbourhood of every regular level set of f, the manifold (M, g) is locally a warped product with $(m - 1)$-dimensional Einstein fibers.*

In dimension four we improve this result, obtaining

<div style="border:1px solid; display:inline-block; padding:2px">COR_Main</div>

Corollary 1.3. *Let (M^4, g) be a complete, noncompact nondegenerate gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension four. If $B(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0$ and f is a proper function, then, in a neighbourhood of every regular level set of f, the manifold (M, g) is locally a warped product with three-dimensional fibers of constant curvature. In particular, (M^4, g) is locally conformally flat.*

As we will show in Section 9, the properness assumption is satisfied by some important subclasses of Einstein-type manifolds, under some natural geometric assumptions. As a consequence, in the case of gradient Ricci solitons, we recover a local version of the results in [8] and [6], while, in the cases of ρ-Einstein solitons and Ricci almost solitons, we prove two new classification theorems (see Theorem 9.1 and 9.2).

In the special case $\alpha = 0$ (which includes Yamabe solitons, Yamabe quasi-solitons and conformal gradient solitons) we give a version of Theorem 1.2 in the following local result that provides a very precise description of the metric in this situation. Note that Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 also apply in the compact case.

<div style="border:1px solid; display:inline-block; padding:2px">_quasiYamabe</div>

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, g) be a complete gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$ with $\alpha = 0$. Then, in a neighbourhood of every regular level set of f, the manifold (M, g) is locally a warped product with $(m - 1)$-dimensional fibers. More precisely, every regular level set Σ of f admits a maximal open neighborhood $U \subset M^m$ on which f only depends on the signed distance r to the hypersurface Σ. In addiction, the potential function f can be chosen in such a way that the metric g takes the form*

<div style="border:1px solid; display:inline-block; padding:2px">arped metric</div>

$$(1.4) \qquad g = dr \otimes dr + \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f'(0)} e^{\mu f(r)} \right)^2 g^\Sigma \quad \text{on } U,$$

where g^Σ is the metric induced by g on Σ. As a consequence, f has at most two critical points on M^m and we have the following cases:

(1) *If f has no critical points, then (M, g) is globally conformally equivalent to a direct product $I \times N^{m-1}$ of some interval $I = (t_*, t^*) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with a $(m - 1)$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold (N^{m-1}, g^N). More precisely, the metric takes the form*

$$g = u^2(t) \left(dt^2 + g^N \right),$$

where $u : (t_*, t^*) \to \mathbb{R}$ is some positive smooth function.

(2) *If f has only one critical point $O \in M^m$, then (M, g) is globally conformally equivalent to the interior of a Euclidean ball of radius $t^* \in (0, +\infty]$. More precisely, on $M^m \setminus \{O\}$, the metric takes the form*

$$g = v^2(t) \left(dt^2 + t^2 g^{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \right),$$

where $v : (0, t^*) \to \mathbb{R}$ is some positive smooth function. In particular (M, g) is complete, noncompact and rotationally symmetric.

(3) *If the function f has two critical points $N, S \in M^m$, then (M, g) is globally conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{S}^m$. More precisely, on $M^m \setminus \{N, S\}$, the metric takes the form*

$$g = w^2(t)\left(dt^2 + \sin^2(t)\, g^{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}\right),$$

where $w : (0, \pi) \to \mathbb{R}$ is some smooth positive function. In particular (M, g) is compact and rotationally symmetric.

In this case, we can obtain a stronger global result, just assuming nonnegativity of the Ricci curvature; namely we have the following

Corollary 1.5. *Any nontrivial, complete, gradient Einstein type manifold with $\alpha = 0$ and nonnegative Ricci curvature is either rotationally symmetric or it is isometric to a Riemannian product $\mathbb{R} \times N^{m-1}$, where N^{m-1} is an $(m-1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature.*

This result covers the cases of Yamabe solitons [9] and conformal gradient solitons [15]. Concerning Yamabe quasi-solitons, Corollary 1.5 improves the results in [27]. In particular, this shows that most of the assumptions in [27, Theorem 1.1] are not necessary.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some useful definitions and properties of various geometric tensors and fix our conventions and notation. Next, in Section 3 we deal with nonexistence of gradient Einstein-type structures, both in the degenerate and in the nondegenerate case, and we give some sufficient conditions for $\lambda_1^L(M) < 0$. In Section 4 we collect some useful commutations relations for covariant derivatives of functions and tensors. In Section 5 we treat the special case of gradient Einstein-type manifolds with $\alpha = 0$ proving Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5. In Section 6 we prove the two aforementioned integrability conditions that follow directly from the Einstein-type structures. In Section 7 we compute the squared norm of the tensor D in terms of D itself, the Bach tensor B and the potential function f. In Section 8 we relate the tensor D to the geometry of the regular level sets of the potential function f. Finally, in Section 9 we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, and we give some geometric applications in the special cases of gradient Ricci solitons, ρ-Einstein solitons and Ricci almost solitons.

2. Definitions and notation

In this section we recall some useful definitions and properties of various geometric tensors and fix our conventions and notation (see also [34]).

To perform computations, we freely use the method of the moving frame referring to a local orthonormal coframe of the m-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g). We fix the index range $1 \le i, j, \ldots \le m$ and recall that the Einstein summation convention will be in force throughout.

We denote with R the *Riemann curvature tensor* (of type $(1, 3)$) associated to the metric g, and with Ric and S the corresponding *Ricci tensor* and *scalar curvature*, respectively. The components of the $(0, 4)$-versions of the Riemann tensor and of the *Weyl tensor* W are related by the formula:

(2.1) $\quad R_{ijkt} = W_{ijkt} + \dfrac{1}{m-2}(R_{ik}\delta_{jt} - R_{it}\delta_{jk} + R_{jt}\delta_{ik} - R_{jk}\delta_{it}) - \dfrac{S}{(m-1)(m-2)}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jt} - \delta_{it}\delta_{jk})$

and they satisfy the following symmetry relations

(2.2) $\hspace{4cm} R_{ijkt} = -R_{jikt} = -R_{ijtk} = R_{ktij},$

(2.3) $\hspace{4cm} W_{ijkt} = -W_{jikt} = -W_{ijtk} = W_{ktij}.$

A computation shows that the Weyl tensor is also totally trace-free. According to this convention the (components of the) Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature are respectively given by $R_{ij} = R_{itjt} = R_{titj}$

and $S = R_{tt}$. The *Schouten tensor* A is defined by

$$\text{A} = \text{Ric} - \frac{S}{2(m-1)}g. \tag{2.4}$$

Tracing we have $\text{tr(A)} = A_{tt} = \frac{(m-2)}{2(m-1)}S$.

Remark 2.1. Some authors adopt a different convention and define the Schouten tensor as $\frac{1}{m-2}A$.

We note that, in terms of the Schouten tensor and of the Weyl tensor, the Riemann curvature tensor can be expressed in the form

$$\text{R} = \text{W} + \frac{1}{m-2}\text{A} \oslash g, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\oslash$ is the Kulkarni-Nomizu product; in components,

$$R_{ijkt} = W_{ijkt} + \frac{1}{m-2}(A_{ik}\delta_{jt} - A_{it}\delta_{jk} + A_{jt}\delta_{ik} - A_{jk}\delta_{it}). \tag{2.6}$$

Next we introduce the *Cotton tensor C* as the obstruction to the commutativity of the covariant derivative of the Schouten tensor, that is

$$C_{ijk} = A_{ij,k} - A_{ik,j} = R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} - \frac{1}{2(m-1)}(S_k\delta_{ij} - S_j\delta_{ik}). \tag{2.7}$$

We also recall that the Cotton tensor, for $m \geq 4$, can be defined as one of the possible divergences of the Weyl tensor; precisely

$$C_{ijk} = \left(\frac{m-2}{m-3}\right)W_{tikj,t} = -\left(\frac{m-2}{m-3}\right)W_{tijk,t}. \tag{2.8}$$

A computation shows that the two definitions (for $m \geq 4$) coincide (see again [34]).

Remark 2.2. It is worth to recall that the Cotton tensor is skew-symmetric in the second and third indices (i.e. $C_{ijk} = -C_{ikj}$) and totally trace-free (i.e. $C_{iik} = C_{iki} = C_{kii} = 0$).

We are now ready to define the *Bach tensor B*, originally introduced by Bach in [1] in the study of conformal relativity. Its components are

$$B_{ij} = \frac{1}{m-2}(C_{jik,k} + R_{kt}W_{ikjt}), \tag{2.9}$$

that, in case $m \geq 4$, by (2.8) can be alternatively written as

$$B_{ij} = \frac{1}{m-3}W_{ikjt,tk} + \frac{1}{m-2}R_{kt}W_{ikjt}. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that if (M, g) is either locally conformally flat (i.e. $C = 0$ if $m = 3$ or $W = 0$ if $m \geq 4$) or Einstein, then $B = 0$. A computation shows that the Bach tensor is symmetric (i.e. $B_{ij} = B_{ji}$) and evidently trace-free (i.e. $B_{ii} = 0$). As a consequence we observe that we can write

$$B_{ij} = \frac{1}{m-2}(C_{ijk,k} + R_{kl}W_{ikjl}).$$

We recall that

Definition 2.3. *The manifold (M, g) is* conformally Einstein *if its metric g can be pointwise conformally deformed to an Einstein metric $\widetilde{g}$.*

We observe that, if $\widetilde{g} = e^{2a\varphi}g$, for some $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and some constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then its Ricci tensor $\widetilde{\text{Ric}}$ is related to that of g by the well-known formula (see for instance [34])

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}} = \text{Ric} - (m-2)a\,\text{Hess}(\varphi) + (m-2)a^2 d\varphi \otimes \varphi - \left[(m-2)a^2|\nabla\varphi|^2 + a\Delta\varphi\right]g. \tag{2.11}$$

Here the various operators (and for their precise definitions see Section 4) are defined with respect to the metric g.

We can easily prove statement (1.3); indeed, suppose that $\beta \neq 0$ and $\beta^2 = (m-2)\alpha\mu$, that is, the Einstein-type structure is degenerate. Tracing (1.2) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}(\rho S + \lambda) = \frac{1}{m}\left(S + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\Delta f + \frac{\mu}{\alpha}|\nabla f|^2\right).$$

(2.12)

Choose $\varphi = f$ and $a = -\frac{\beta}{(m-2)\alpha}$ in (2.11) to obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\left[\frac{\beta^2}{(m-2)\alpha} - \mu\right]df \otimes df + \frac{1}{\alpha}(\rho S + \lambda) + \frac{\beta}{(m-2)\alpha}\left(\Delta f - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}|\nabla|^2\right)g.$$

(2.13)

Inserting (2.12) into (2.13) yields

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\left[\frac{\beta^2}{(m-2)\alpha} - \mu\right]df \otimes df + \frac{1}{m}\left[S + 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\frac{m-1}{m-2}\Delta f + \frac{1}{\alpha}(\mu - m\beta)|\nabla f|^2\right]g.$$

Hence, since $\beta^2 = (m-2)\alpha\mu$,

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}} = \frac{1}{m}\left[S + 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\frac{m-1}{m-2}\Delta f + \frac{1}{\alpha}(\mu - m\beta)|\nabla f|^2\right]g,$$

(2.14)

that is, $\widetilde{g} = e^{-\frac{2\beta}{(m-2)\alpha}f}g$ is an Einstein metric (this was also obtained in Theorem 1.159 of [2]).

Viceversa, suppose that $\widetilde{g} = e^{2af}g$, $a \neq 0$, is an Einstein metric, so that, for some $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}} = \Lambda\widetilde{g}$. From (2.11)

$$\mathrm{Ric} - (m-2)a\,\mathrm{Hess}(f) + (m-2)a^2 df \otimes df = \left[\Lambda e^{2af} + (m-2)a^2|\nabla f|^2 + a\Delta f\right]g.$$

(2.15)

Tracing we get

$$\frac{S}{m-1} = \left[(m-2)a^2|\nabla f|^2 + a\Delta f\right] + a\Delta f + \frac{m}{m-1}\Lambda e^{2af}.$$

Thus, inserting into (2.15),

$$\mathrm{Ric} - (m-2)a\,\mathrm{Hess}(f) + (m-2)a^2 df \otimes df = \left(\frac{S}{m-1} - a\Delta f - \frac{\Lambda}{m-1}e^{2af}\right)g.$$

We choose $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = -(m-2)a$, $\mu = (m-2)a^2$, $\rho = \frac{1}{m-1}$ and $\lambda(x) = -a\Delta f - \frac{\Lambda}{m-1}e^{2af}$. We note that $\beta \neq 0$ and

$$\beta^2 = (m-2)^2 a^2 = (m-2)\alpha\mu,$$

so that the above choice of α, β, μ, ρ and λ yields a degenerate Einstein-type structure.

3. Nonexistence of gradient Einstein-type structures

In this section we comment on the nonexistence of gradient Einstein-type structures on (M, g). From now on we fix an origin $o \in M$ and let $r(x) = \mathrm{dist}\,(x, o)$. We set B_r and ∂B_r to denote, respectively, the geodesic ball of radius r centered at o and its boundary.

We begin with considering the *degenerate case*. In this situation $\beta \neq 0$ and $\beta^2 = (m-2)\alpha\mu$; in particular $\alpha, \mu \neq 0$. Multiplying equation (1.2) by $\frac{1}{m-2}\frac{\mu}{\alpha}$ and setting $h = \frac{\mu}{\beta}f$, using the relation $\beta^2 = (m-2)\alpha\mu$ we immediately obtain

$$\frac{\mu}{m-2}\,\mathrm{Ric} + \mu\,\mathrm{Hess}(h) + \mu dh \otimes dh = \left(\frac{\mu}{\beta}\right)^2(\rho S + \lambda)g,$$

that is, another degenerate gradient Einstein-type structure. Using (2.14) with our new constants and with h replacing f we deduce the existence of a constant $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Lambda e^{-2h} = S + 2(m-1)\Delta h - (m-1)(m-2)|\nabla h|^2.$$

We set $u = e^{-\frac{m-2}{2}h}$ so that, using the above, u becomes a positive solution of the Yamabe equation

$$4\frac{m-1}{m-2}\Delta u - S(x)u + \Lambda u^{\frac{m+2}{m-2}} = 0.$$

(3.1)

Hence, every time (3.1) has no positive solution, we can conclude that (M, g) has no degenerate gradient Einstein-type structure. Nonexistence for (3.1) heavily depends on the sign of Λ; indeed, let us consider the case $\Lambda \geq 0$. Thus u satisfies

$$4\frac{m-1}{m-2}\Delta u - S(x)u \leq 0, \quad u > 0 \quad \text{on } M.$$

By [23], if $\mathfrak{L} = \Delta - S(x)\frac{m-2}{4(m-1)}$, then $\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(M) \geq 0$. Hence, in this case, every time we can guarantee that $\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(M) < 0$, there do not exist positive solutions of (3.1) on M. We will give some sufficient conditions for this at the end of the section.

For the case $\Lambda < 0$ the situation is more involved; in what follows we will always make this assumption. We recall that with our choices

$$u = e^{-\frac{m-2}{2}h} = e^{-\frac{m-2}{2}\frac{\mu}{\beta}f},$$

so that $u \in L^2(M)$ if and only if $e^{-(m-2)\frac{\mu}{\beta}f} \in L^1(M)$. Applying Proposition 3.1 of [34] we have that, for $\Lambda < 0$ there are no gradient, degerate, Einstein-type structures with $e^{-(m-2)\frac{\mu}{\beta}f} \in L^1(M)$, provided that $\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(M) \geq 0$, $\mathfrak{L}$ as above. The request on the integrability of $e^{-(m-2)\frac{\mu}{\beta}f}$ can be replaced by

$$f(x) \to +\infty \quad \text{as } r(x) \to +\infty,$$

provided $\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(\operatorname{supp} S_-) > 0$, see Theorem 3.12 of [34]. Since $\operatorname{supp} S_-$ is a closed set we need to extend the definition of $\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}$ to this case. For a generic bounded subset D of M we set

$$\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(D) = \sup \lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(\Omega),$$

where the supremum is taken over all open, bounded sets with smooth boundary Ω such that $D \subset \Omega$. Note that, by definition, if $D = \emptyset$ then $\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(D) = +\infty$. Finally, if D is an unbounded subset of M, we define

$$\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(D) = \inf \lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(D \cap \Sigma),$$

where the infimum is taken over all bounded open sets Σ with smooth boundary. Note that, since $\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(B_r) \sim \frac{C}{r^2}$ for some constant $C > 0$ as $r \searrow +\infty$ (see e.g. [20]) and B_r is a geodesic ball centered at $P \in M$, the condition $\lambda_1^{\mathfrak{L}}(\operatorname{supp} S_-) > 0$ means that the set $\operatorname{supp} S_-$ is small in a suitable spectral sense.

Again, using Theorem 5.12 of [34], there are no gradient degenerate Einstein-type structures on (M, g) with

$$f(x) \to -\infty \quad \text{as } r(x) \to +\infty,$$

for which

$$\sup_M S_-(x) < +\infty$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \operatorname{vol}(B_r)}{r^2} < +\infty,$$

where $\operatorname{vol}(B_r)$ denotes the volume of the geodesic ball B_r. The above discussion also shows the important role played by the sign of the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet problem for the operator $\mathfrak{L}$.

We now analyze the existence for a *nondegenerate gradient Einstein structure*. As remarked in the introduction, letting $L = \Delta - \frac{\mu}{\beta}[m\lambda(x) - (m\rho - \alpha)S(x)]$ every time $\lambda_1^L(M) < 0$ we have nonexistence. We let

$$\frac{\mu}{\beta}\big[m\bar{\lambda}(r) + (m\rho - \alpha)\bar{S}(r)\big] = \frac{\mu}{\beta}\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\partial B_r)}\left[m\int_{\partial B_r}\lambda(x) + (m\rho - \alpha)\int_{\partial B_r}S(x)\right],$$

i.e. the radialization of the linear term. Note that, given any sufficiently regular function $q(x)$, by the co-area formula

$$\int_0^R \bar{q}(s)\operatorname{vol}(\partial B_s)\,ds = \int_{B_R} q(x).$$

This fact and the Rayleigh characterization of the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet problem on the ball B_R justify assumptions on the radialization of the linear term rather then on the term itself. To simplify

the writing we let $v(r) = \mathrm{vol}\,(\partial B_r)$ and let $\widehat{v}(r)$ satisfy $\widehat{v} \in L^\infty_{loc}([0, +\infty))$, $\frac{1}{\widehat{v}} \in L^\infty_{loc}((0, +\infty))$, $0 \leq v \leq \widehat{v}$ on $[0, +\infty)$. We suppose

$$\frac{1}{\widehat{v}} \in L^1(+\infty)$$

and we define the critical curve associated to $\widehat{v}$, $\chi_{\widehat{v}}$, by setting

$$\chi_{\widehat{v}}(r) = \left\{ 2\widehat{v}(r) \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{\widehat{v}(s)} \right\}^{-2}.$$

By using Theorem 6.15 in [3] we give the following sufficient condition for the instability of L. Assume that

$\boxed{\text{2.5.3}}$ (3.2) $$\bar{q}(r) = \frac{\mu}{\beta}\big(m\bar{\lambda}(r) + (m\rho - \alpha)\bar{S}(r)\big) \leq 0, \quad \bar{q} \not\equiv 0,$$

that $v(r)$ and $\widehat{v}(r)$ are as above and that

$\boxed{\text{2.5.4}}$ (3.3) $$\limsup_{r \to +\infty} \int_R^r \left(\sqrt{|\bar{q}(s)|} - \sqrt{\chi_{\widehat{v}}(s)} \right) ds = +\infty$$

for some $R \gg 1$. Then L is unstable (in fact, L has infinite index).

We can even prove that $\lambda_1^L(M) < 0$ under a less restrictive condition, but in order to avoid technicalities we use (3.3). Indeed, it is not difficult to simplify (3.3) in case we give an explicit upper bound for the volume growth $v(r)$. For instance, if $\widehat{v}(r) = \zeta r^\sigma$, that is

$$\mathrm{vol}\,(\partial B_r) \leq \zeta r^\sigma$$

for $r \gg 1$, some constants $\zeta > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, (3.3) becomes

$\boxed{\text{2.5.5}}$ (3.4) $$\limsup_{r \to +\infty} \left\{ \int_R^r \sqrt{|\bar{q}(s)|}\, ds - \frac{\sigma - 1}{2} \log r \right\} = +\infty,$$

while for an exponential bound

$$\mathrm{vol}\,(\partial B_r) \leq \zeta r^\theta e^{ar^\sigma \log^\tau r}$$

for $r \gg 1$, some constants $\zeta, a, \sigma > 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, (3.3) is equivalent to

$\boxed{\text{2.5.6}}$ (3.5) $$\limsup_{r \to +\infty} \left\{ \int_R^r \sqrt{|\bar{q}(s)|}\, ds - \frac{a}{2} r^\sigma \log^\tau r - \frac{\sigma + \theta - 1}{2} \log r - \frac{\tau}{2} \log\log r \right\} = +\infty.$$

As a final remark we observe that condition (3.2) can be relaxed. We refer the interested reader to sections 6.6 and 6.7 in Chapter 6 of [3].

$\boxed{\text{sec\_3}}$

4. Some basics on moving frames and commutation rules

In this section we collect some useful commutations relations for covariant derivatives of functions and tensors that will be used in the rest of the paper.

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. For the sake of completeness (see [34] for details) we recall that, having fixed a (local) orthonormal coframe $\{\theta^i\}$, with dual frame $\{e_i\}$, then the corresponding *Levi-Civita connection forms* $\{\theta^i_j\}$, are the 1-forms uniquely defined by the requirements

$\boxed{\text{tStructureEq}}$ (4.1) $$d\theta^i = -\theta^i_j \wedge \theta^j \quad \text{(first structure equations)},$$

$\boxed{\text{ysymmConnForm}}$ (4.2) $$\theta^i_j + \theta^j_i = 0.$$

The *curvature forms* $\{\Theta^i_j\}$ associated to the connection are the 2-forms defined via the *second structure equations*

$\boxed{\text{dStructureEq}}$ (4.3) $$d\theta^i_j = -\theta^i_k \wedge \theta^k_j + \Theta^i_j.$$

They are skew-symmetric (i.e. $\Theta^i_j + \Theta^j_i = 0$) and they can be written as

$\boxed{\text{rme\_curvatura}}$ (4.4) $$\Theta^i_j = \frac{1}{2} R^i_{jkt} \theta^k \wedge \theta^t = \sum_{k < t} R^i_{jkt} \theta^k \wedge \theta^t,$$

where R^i_{jkt} are precisely the coefficients of the $((1,3)$-version of the) Riemann curvature tensor.

The *covariant derivative of a vector field* $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is defined by

$$\nabla X = (dX^i + X^j \theta^i_j) \otimes e_i = X^i_k \theta^k \otimes e_i,$$

while the *covariant derivative of a 1-form* ω is defined by

$$\nabla \omega = (d\omega_i - w_j \theta^j_i) \otimes \theta^i = \omega_{ik} \theta^k \otimes \theta^i.$$

The *divergence* of the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the trace of the endomorphism $(\nabla X)^\sharp : TM \to TM$, that is,

$$\operatorname{div} X = \operatorname{tr}(\nabla X)^\sharp = g(\nabla_{e_i} X, e_i) = X^i_i. \tag{4.5}$$

For a smooth function f we can write

$$df = f_i \theta^i, \tag{4.6}$$

for some smooth coefficients $f_i \in C^\infty(M)$. The *Hessian* of f, $\operatorname{Hess}(f)$, is the $(0,2)$-tensor defined as

$$\operatorname{Hess}(f) = \nabla df = f_{ij} \theta^j \otimes \theta^i, \tag{4.7}$$

with

$$f_{ij} \theta^j = df_i - f_t \theta^t_i. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that

$$f_{ij} = f_{ji}.$$

The *Laplacian* of f, Δf, is the trace of the Hessian, in other words

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}(f)) = f_{ii}.$$

The moving frame formalism reveals extremely useful in determining the commutation rules of geometric tensors (see [34] for details). Some of them will be essential in our computations.

Lemma 4.1. *If* $f \in C^3(M)$ *then:*

$$f_{ij} = f_{ji}; \tag{4.9}$$

$$f_{ijk} = f_{jik}; \tag{4.10}$$

$$f_{ijk} = f_{ikj} + f_t R_{tijk}; \tag{4.11}$$

$$f_{ijk} = f_{ikj} + f_t W_{tijk} + \frac{1}{m-2}(f_t R_{tj}\delta_{ik} - f_t R_{tk}\delta_{ij} + f_j R_{ik} - f_k R_{ij}) \tag{4.12}$$
$$\qquad - \frac{S}{(m-1)(m-2)}(f_j \delta_{ik} - f_k \delta_{ij});$$

$$f_{ijk} = f_{ikj} + f_t W_{tijk} + \frac{1}{m-2}(f_t A_{tj}\delta_{ik} - f_t A_{tk}\delta_{ij} + f_j A_{ik} - f_k A_{ij}); \tag{4.13}$$

In particular, tracing (4.11) *we deduce*

$$f_{itt} = f_{tti} + f_t R_{ti}. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Let $df = f_i \theta^i$. Differentiating and using the structure equations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= df_i \wedge \theta^i + f_i d\theta^i = (f_{ij}\theta^j + f_k\theta^k_i) \wedge \theta^i - f_i \theta^i_k \wedge \theta^k \\
&= f_{ij}\theta^j \wedge \theta^i \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(f_{ij} - f_{ji})\theta^j \wedge \theta^i,
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$0 = \sum_{1 \le j < i \le m} (f_{ij} - f_{ji})\theta^j \wedge \theta^i;$$

since $\left\{\theta^j \wedge \theta^i\right\}$ $(1 \leq j < i \leq m)$ is a basis for the 2-forms we get equation (4.9). Equation (4.10) follows taking the covariant derivative of (4.9). As for (4.11) by definition of covariant derivative we have

(4.15) $$f_{ijk}\theta^k = df_{ij} - f_{kj}\theta_i^k - f_{ik}\theta_j^k.$$

Differentiating equation (4.8) and using the structure equations we get

$$df_{ik} \wedge \theta^k - f_{ij}\theta_k^j \wedge \theta^k = -df_t \wedge \theta_i^t + f_k\theta_t^k \wedge \theta_i^t - f_k\Theta_i^k =$$
$$= -(f_{tk}\theta^k + f_k\theta_t^k) \wedge \theta_i^t + f_k\theta_t^k \wedge \theta_i^t - \frac{1}{2}f_k R_{ijt}^k\theta^j \wedge \theta^t,$$

thus

$$(df_{ik} - f_{tk}\theta_i^t - f_{it}\theta_k^t) \wedge \theta^k = -\frac{1}{2}f_t R_{ijk}^t\theta^j \wedge \theta^k,$$

and, by (4.15),

$$f_{ikj}\theta^j \wedge \theta^k = -\frac{1}{2}f_t R_{ijk}^t\theta^j \wedge \theta^k.$$

Skew-symmetrizing we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(f_{ikj} - f_{ijk})\theta^j \wedge \theta^k = -\frac{1}{2}f_t R_{ijk}^t\theta^j \wedge \theta^k,$$

that is, (4.11). Equations (4.12) and (4.13) follow easily from (4.11), using the definitions of the Weyl tensor and of the Schouten tensor (see Section 2). $\qquad\square$

For the Riemann curvature tensor we recall the classical Bianchi identities, that in our formalism become

(4.16) $$\qquad R_{ijkt} + R_{itjk} + R_{iktj} = 0 \quad \text{(First Bianchi Identities)};$$

(4.17) $$\qquad R_{ijkt,l} + R_{ijlk,t} + R_{ijtl,k} = 0 \quad \text{(Second Bianchi Identities)}.$$

For the second derivatives of R we have

Lemma 4.2.

(4.18) $$\qquad R_{ijkt,lr} - R_{ijkt,rl} = R_{sjkt}R_{silr} + R_{iskt}R_{sjlr} + R_{ijst}R_{sklr} + R_{ijks}R_{stlr}.$$

Proof. By definition of covariant derivative we have

(4.19) $$\qquad R_{ijkt,l}\theta^l = dR_{ijkt} - R_{ljkt}\theta_i^l - R_{ilkt}\theta_j^l - R_{ijlt}\theta_k^l - R_{ijkl}\theta_t^l$$

and

(4.20) $$\qquad R_{ijkt,lr}\theta^r = dR_{ijkt,l} - R_{ljkt,l}\theta_i^r - R_{irkt,l}\theta_j^r - R_{ijrt,l}\theta_k^r - R_{ijkr,l}\theta_t^r - R_{ijkt,r}\theta_l^r.$$

Differentiating equation (4.19) and using the first structure equations we get

(4.21)
$$dR_{ijkt,s} \wedge \theta^s - R_{ijkt,l}\theta_s^l \wedge \theta^s = -dR_{ljkt} \wedge \theta_i^l + R_{ljkt}(\theta_s^l \wedge \theta_i^s - \Theta_i^l) - dR_{ilkt} \wedge \theta_j^l + R_{ilkt}(\theta_s^l \wedge \theta_j^s - \Theta_j^l)$$
$$- dR_{ijlt} \wedge \theta_i^l + R_{ijlt}(\theta_s^l \wedge \theta_k^s - \Theta_k^l) - dR_{ijkl} \wedge \theta_i^l + R_{ijkl}(\theta_s^l \wedge \theta_t^s - \Theta_t^l).$$

Now we repeatedly use (4.20) and (4.4) into the previous relation; after some manipulations we arrive at

$$\left(dR_{ijkt,s} - R_{ljkt,s}\theta_i^l - R_{ilkt,s}\theta_j^l - R_{ijlt,s}\theta_k^l - R_{ijkl,s}\theta_t^l - R_{ijkt,l}\theta_s^l\right) \wedge \theta^s = -\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{ljkt}R_{lirs} + R_{ilkt}R_{ljrs}\right.$$
$$\left. + R_{ijlt}R_{lkrs} + R_{ijkl}R_{ltrs}\right)\theta^r \wedge \theta^s.$$

Renaming indexes and skew-symmetrizing the left hand side, which is precisely $R_{ijkt,sr}\theta^r \wedge \theta^s$, we obtain (4.18). $\qquad\square$

As a consequence for the Ricci tensor we have

STDerivRicci **Lemma 4.3.**

(4.22) $$R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} = -R_{tijk,t} = R_{tikj,t};$$

(4.23) $$R_{ij,kt} - R_{ij,tk} = R_{likt}R_{lj} + R_{ljkt}R_{li}.$$

Proof. The previous relations follow tracing equations (4.17) and (4.18), respectively. $\qquad\square$

The First Bianchi Identities implies that

utCiclCotton (4.24) $$C_{ijk} + C_{jki} + C_{kij} = 0.$$

From the definition of the Cotton tensor we also deduce that

(4.25) $$C_{ijk,t} = A_{ij,kt} - A_{ik,jt} = R_{ij,kt} - R_{ik,jt} - \frac{1}{2(m-1)}(S_{kt}\delta_{ij} - S_{jt}\delta_{ik}).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.3 and Schur's identity $S_i = \frac{1}{2}R_{ik,k}$,

(4.26) $$R_{ik,jk} = R_{ik,kj} + R_{tijk}R_{tk} + R_{tkjk}R_{ti} = \frac{1}{2}S_{ij} - R_{tk}R_{itjk} + R_{it}R_{tj}.$$

This enables us obtain the following expression for the divergence of the Cotton tensor:

DiverCotton (4.27) $$C_{ijk,k} = R_{ij,kk} - \frac{m-2}{2(m-1)}S_{ij} + R_{tk}R_{itjk} - R_{it}R_{tj} - \frac{1}{2(m-1)}\Delta S\delta_{ij}.$$

The previous relation also shows that

ymmDivCotton (4.28) $$C_{ijk,k} = C_{jik,k},$$

thus confirming the symmetry of the Bach tensor, see (2.9).

Taking the covariant derivative of (4.24) and using (4.28) we also deduce

lDiverCotton (4.29) $$C_{kij,k} = 0.$$

sec_4
5. Gradient Einstein-type manifolds with $\alpha = 0$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 focusing our attention on gradient Einstein-type manifolds with $\alpha = 0$. Without loss of generality, we can write the equation in the form

a (5.1) $$\operatorname{Hess}(f) + \mu\,df \otimes df = \varphi\,g,$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and some function $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$. Tracing this equation with the metric g, we see immediately that the function φ coincides with $(\Delta f + \mu|\nabla f|^2)/m$. We prove the following result, which immediately implies Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

teo1 **Theorem 5.1.** *Let (M, g) be a complete gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$ and of the form (5.1). Then, any regular level set Σ of f admits a maximal open neighborhood $U \subset M^m$ on which f only depends on the signed distance r to the hypersurface Σ. In addiction, the potential function f can be chosen in such a way that the metric g takes the form*

arped metric (5.2) $$g = dr \otimes dr + \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f'(0)}e^{\mu f(r)}\right)^2 g^\Sigma \quad on\ U,$$

where g^Σ is the metric induced by g on Σ. As a consequence, f has at most two critical points on M^m and we have the following cases:

(1) *If f has no critical points, then (M, g) is globally conformally equivalent to a direct product $I \times N^{m-1}$ of some interval $I = (t_*, t^*) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with a $(m-1)$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold (N^{m-1}, g^N). More precisely, the metric takes the form*

$$g = u^2(t)\left(dt^2 + g^N\right),$$

where $u : (t_*, t^*) \to \mathbb{R}$ is some positive smooth function.

(1') *If, in addition, the Ricci tensor of (M, g) is nonnegative, then (M, g) is* isometric *to a direct product $\mathbb{R} \times N^{m-1}$, where (N^{m-1}, g^N) has nonnegative Ricci tensor.*

(2) *If f has only one critical point $O \in M^m$, then (M, g) is globally conformally equivalent to the interior of a Euclidean ball of radius $t^* \in (0, +\infty]$. More precisely, on $M^m \setminus \{O\}$, the metric takes the form*

$$g = v^2(t) \left(dt^2 + t^2 g^{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \right),$$

where $v : (0, t^*) \to \mathbb{R}$ is some positive smooth function. In particular (M, g) is complete, non-compact and rotationally symmetric.

(2') *If, in addition, the Ricci tensor of (M, g) is nonnegative, then (M, g) is globally conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{R}^m$.*

(3) *If the function f has two critical points $N, S \in M^m$, then (M, g) is globally conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{S}^m$. More precisely, on $M^m \setminus \{N, S\}$, the metric takes the form*

$$g = w^2(t) \left(dt^2 + \sin^2(t) g^{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \right),$$

where $w : (0, \pi) \to \mathbb{R}$ is some smooth positive function. In particular (M, g) is compact and rotationally symmetric.

Proof. We will follow the proof in [15], using the Koszul formalism. Let Σ be a regular level set of the function $f : M^m \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $|\nabla f| \neq 0$ on Σ, which exists by Sard's Theorem and the fact that f is nonconstant in our definition. First we observe that $|\nabla f|$ has to be constant on Σ. Indeed, for all $Y \in T_p\Sigma$

$$\nabla_Y |\nabla f|^2 = 2 \, \mathrm{Hess}(f)(\nabla f, Y) = \frac{2 \Delta f + 2\mu|\nabla f|^2}{m} g(\nabla f, Y) - 2\mu \, |\nabla f|^2 \, g(\nabla f, Y) = 0 \,.$$

From this we deduce that, in a neighborhood U of Σ which does not contain any critical point of f, the potential function f only depends on the signed distance r to the hypersurface Σ. In particular $df = f'dr$. Moreover, if $\theta = (\theta^1 \ldots, \theta^{m-1})$ are coordinates *adapted* to the hypersurface Σ, we get

$$\mathrm{Hess}(f) = \nabla df = f''dr \otimes dr + f' \, \mathrm{Hess}(r) = f''dr \otimes dr + \frac{f'}{2} \partial_r g_{ij} \, d\theta^i \otimes d\theta^j \,,$$

since

$$\Gamma^r_{rr} = \Gamma^k_{rr} = \Gamma^r_{ir} = 0 \,, \qquad \Gamma^r_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_r g_{ij} \,, \qquad \Gamma^k_{ir} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ks} \partial_r g_{is} \,.$$

On the other hand, using equation (5.1), we have

$$\mathrm{Hess}(f) = \frac{\Delta f + \mu \, |\nabla f|^2}{m} g - \mu \, df \otimes df = \left(\frac{\Delta f + \mu(f')^2}{m} - \mu(f')^2 \right) dr \otimes dr + \left(\frac{\Delta f + \mu(f')^2}{m} \right) g_{ij} \, d\theta^i \otimes d\theta^j \,,$$

thus,

$$\frac{\Delta f + \mu(f')^2}{m} = f'' + \mu(f')^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\Delta f + \mu(f')^2}{m} g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} f' \, \partial_r g_{ij} \,.$$

These equations imply the family of ODE's

$$\left[f''(r) + \mu(f')^2 \right] g_{ij}(r, \theta) = \frac{f'(r)}{2} \partial_r g_{ij}(r, \theta) \,.$$

Since $f'(0) \neq 0$ (otherwise Σ is not a regular level set of f) we can integrate these equations obtaining

$$g_{ij}(r, \theta) = \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f'(0)} e^{\mu[f(r) - f(0)]} \right)^2 g_{ij}(0, \theta) \,.$$

Therefore, in U the metric takes the form

$$g = dr \otimes dr + \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f'(0)} e^{\mu[f(r) - f(0)]} \right)^2 g^\Sigma_{ij}(\theta) \, d\theta^i \otimes d\theta^j \,,$$

where $g_{ij}^{\Sigma}(\theta) = g_{ij}(0,\theta)$ is the metric induced by g on Σ. We notice that, since $f = f(r)$, then the width of the neighborhood U is uniform with respect to the points of Σ, namely we can assume $U = \{r_* < r < r^*\}$, for some maximal $r_* \in [-\infty, 0)$ and $r^* \in (0, \infty]$. Moreover, by translating the function f, we can assume that $f(0) = 0$. Hence, in U, the metric can be written as

$$\boxed{\text{metric}} \quad (5.3) \qquad g = dr \otimes dr + \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f'(0)} e^{\mu f(r)}\right)^2 g^{\Sigma},$$

where g^{Σ} denotes the induced metric on the level set Σ. Then, if we let

$$\omega(r) := \frac{f'(r)}{f'(0)} e^{\mu f(r)},$$

we have that $g = dr \otimes dr + \omega(r)^2 g^{\Sigma}$. At this point, we can follow directly the computations in the proof of [15, Theorem 1.1]. In fact, one observes that we have three possible cases, depending on the zeros of the function ω, i.e. depending on the number of critical points of the function f. Now, to conclude the proof of the theorem one can follow step by step the proof in [15]. $\qquad \square$

6. The tensor D and the integrability conditions

The main result of this section concerns two natural integrability conditions that follow directly from the Einstein-type structure; as in the case of Ricci solitons and Yamabe (quasi)-solitons, there is a natural tensor that turns out to play a fundamental role in relating the Einstein-type structure to the geometry of the underlying manifold. Quite surprisingly, as it is shown in Theorem 6.4, the presence of the constant ρ and of the function λ seems to be completely irrelevant.

Let (M,g) be gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. Equation (1.2) in components reads as

$$\boxed{\text{S\_components}} \quad (6.1) \qquad \alpha R_{ij} + \beta f_{ij} + \mu f_i f_j = (\rho S + \lambda)\delta_{ij}.$$

Tracing the previous relation we immediately deduce that

$$\boxed{\text{global\_traced}} \quad (6.2) \qquad (\alpha - m\rho)S + \beta \Delta f + \mu |\nabla f|^2 = m\lambda.$$

Definition 6.1. *We define the tensor D by its components*

$$\boxed{\text{definition\_of\_D}} \quad (6.3)$$
$$D_{ijk} = \frac{1}{m-2}(f_k R_{ij} - f_j R_{ik}) + \frac{1}{(m-1)(m-2)} f_t (R_{tk}\delta_{ij} - R_{tj}\delta_{ik}) - \frac{S}{(m-1)(m-2)}(f_k \delta_{ij} - f_j \delta_{ik}).$$

Note that D is skew-symmetric in the second and third indices (i.e. $D_{ijk} = -D_{ikj}$) and totally trace-free (i.e. $D_{iik} = D_{iki} = D_{kii} = 0$).

Remark 6.2. We explicitly note that our conventions for the Cotton tensor and for the tensor D differ from those in [8].

Lemma 6.3. *Let (M,g) be a gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. The tensor D can be written in the next three equivalent ways:*

$$(6.4)$$
$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{m-2}(f_k R_{ij} - f_j R_{ik}) + \frac{1}{(m-1)(m-2)} f_t (R_{tk}\delta_{ij} - R_{tj}\delta_{ik}) - \frac{S}{(m-1)(m-2)}(f_k \delta_{ij} - f_j \delta_{ik}) \\
&= \frac{1}{m-2}(f_k A_{ij} - f_j A_{ik}) + \frac{1}{(m-1)(m-2)} f_t (E_{tk}\delta_{ij} - E_{tj}\delta_{ik}) \\
&= \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\left[\frac{1}{m-2}(f_j f_{ik} - f_k f_{ij}) + \frac{1}{(m-1)(m-2)} f_t (f_{tj}\delta_{ik} - f_{tk}\delta_{ij}) - \frac{\Delta f}{(m-1)(m-2)}(f_j \delta_{ik} - f_k \delta_{ij})\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where E_{ij} are the components of the Einstein tensor (see [2]) defined as

$$E_{ij} = R_{ij} - \frac{S}{2}\delta_{ij}.$$

Note that the third expression makes sense only if $\alpha \neq 0$. The proof is just a simple computation, using the definitions of the tensors involved, equation (6.1) and equation (6.2).

The following theorem should be compared with Lemma 3.1 and equation (4.1) in [8], with Lemma 2.4 and equation (2.12) in [6] and with Proposition 2.2 in [27].

Theorem 6.4. Let (M, g) be a gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. Then the following integrability conditions hold:

(6.5)
$$\alpha C_{ijk} + \beta f_t W_{tijk} = \left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right]D_{ijk},$$

(6.6)
$$\alpha B_{ij} = \frac{1}{m-2}\left\{\left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right]D_{ijk,k} + \beta\left(\frac{m-3}{m-2}\right)f_t C_{jit} - \mu f_t f_k W_{itjk}\right\}.$$

Proof. We begin with the covariant derivative of equation (6.1) to get

(6.7)
$$\alpha R_{ij,k} + \beta f_{ij,k} + \mu(f_{ik}f_j + f_i f_{jk}) = (\rho S_k + \lambda_k)\delta_{ij}.$$

Skew-symmetrizing with respect to j and k and using (4.11) we obtain

(6.8)
$$\alpha(R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j}) + \beta f_t R_{tijk} + \mu(f_{ik}f_j - f_{ij}f_k) = \rho(S_k\delta_{ij} - S_j\delta_{ik}) + (\lambda_k\delta_{ij} - \lambda_j\delta_{ik}).$$

To get rid of the two terms on the right-hand side of equation (6.8) we proceed as follows: first we trace the equation with respect to i and j and we use Schur's identity $S_k = 2R_{tk,t}$ to deduce

(6.9)
$$[\alpha - 2\rho(m-1)]S_k = 2\beta f_t R_{tk} + 2(m-1)\lambda_k - 2\mu(f_t f_{tk} - \Delta f f_k);$$

secondly, from equations (6.1) and (6.2) we respectively have

(6.10)
$$f_{tk} = \frac{1}{\beta}[(\rho S + \lambda)\delta_{tk} - \alpha R_{tk} - \mu f_t f_k]$$

and

(6.11)
$$\Delta f = \frac{1}{\beta}\Big[(m\rho - \alpha)S + m\lambda - \mu|\nabla f|^2\Big].$$

Inserting the two previous relations in (6.9) and simplifying we deduce the following important equation

(6.12) $[\alpha - 2\rho(m-1)]S_k = 2\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right)f_t R_{tk} + 2(m-1)\lambda_k - \frac{2\mu}{\beta}[\alpha - \rho(m-1)]Sf_k + \frac{2\mu}{\beta}(m-1)\lambda f_k.$

From (2.1) we deduce that

(6.13)
$$f_t R_{tijk} = f_t W_{tijk} - D_{ijk} - \frac{1}{m-1}(f_t R_{tk}\delta_{ij} - f_t R_{tj}\delta_{ik}).$$

Inserting now (6.13), (2.7) and (6.12) into (6.8) and simplifying we get (6.5).

Taking the divergence of equation (6.5) we obtain

(6.14)
$$\alpha C_{ijk,k} - \beta f_{tk}W_{itjk} - \beta\left(\frac{m-3}{m-2}\right)f_t C_{jit} = \left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right]D_{ijk,k};$$

using the definition of the Bach tensor (2.9), equation (6.10) and the symmetries of W we immediately deduce (6.6). □

Remark 6.5. Equation (6.12) is the analogue of the fundamental $S_k = 2f_t R_{tk}$, valid for every Ricci soliton.

RM_betanullo

Remark 6.6. In case $\beta = 0$ (and thus $\alpha \neq 0$), by direct calculations, using (2.7), (6.3) and (6.1), one can show that $D = 0$ and equations (6.5) and (6.6) take the form

$$\alpha C_{ijk} = -\mu(f_j f_{ik} - f_k f_{ij}) - \frac{\mu}{m-1} f_t(f_{tj}\delta_{ik} - f_{tk}\delta_{ij}) + \frac{\mu \Delta f}{m-1}(f_j \delta_{ik} - f_k \delta_{ij}),$$

$$\alpha B_{ij} = \frac{1}{m-2}\{\alpha C_{ijk,k} - \mu f_t f_k W_{itjk}\}.$$

7. Vanishing of the tensor D

sec_6

In this section we compute the squared norm of the tensor D in terms of D itself, the Bach tensor B and the potential function f. Moreover, under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, we prove the vanishing of D. We begin with

LMasd

Lemma 7.1. *Let (M, g) be a nondegenerate gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. If $\alpha \neq 0$,*

ared_general (7.1) $$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)\left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right]|D|^2 = -\beta(m-2)f_i f_j B_{ij} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right](f_i f_j D_{ijk})_k,$$

while if $\alpha = 0$

quared_alpha0 (7.2) $$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)|D|^2 = -(m-2)f_i f_j B_{ij} + (f_i f_j C_{ijk})_k.$$

Proof. We observe that, since $D_{ijk} = -D_{ikj}$,

$$|D|^2 = D_{ijk}D_{ijk} = \frac{1}{m-2}D_{ijk}(f_k R_{ij} - f_j R_{ik}) = \frac{1}{m-2}(f_k R_{ij}D_{ijk} + f_j R_{ik}D_{ikj}),$$

so that

DSquaredNorm (7.3) $$|D|^2 = \frac{2}{m-2}f_k R_{ij}D_{ijk}.$$

Since $\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta} \neq 0$, using (6.5) and the definition of the Bach tensor we can write

$$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)\left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right]|D|^2 = f_k R_{ij}(\alpha C_{ijk} + \beta f_t W_{tijk})$$

$$= \alpha f_k R_{ij}C_{ijk} - \beta f_i f_j R_{tk}W_{itjk}$$

$$= \alpha f_k R_{ij}C_{ijk} - \beta(m-2)f_i f_j B_{ij} + \beta f_i f_j C_{ijk,k}.$$

By the symmetries of the Cotton tensor we also have

$$f_i f_j C_{ijk,k} = f_i(f_j C_{ijk})_k - f_i f_{jk}C_{ijk}$$

$$= (f_i f_j C_{ijk})_k - f_{ik}f_j C_{ijk}$$

$$= (f_i f_j C_{ijk})_k + f_{ij}f_k C_{ijk},$$

therefore we obtain

squared_first (7.4) $$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)\left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right]|D|^2 = \alpha f_k R_{ij}C_{ijk} - \beta(m-2)f_i f_j B_{ij} + \beta(f_i f_j C_{ijk})_k + \beta f_{ij}f_k C_{ijk}.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, using equation (6.1) in (7.4) we immediately get

$$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)|D|^2 = -(m-2)f_i f_j B_{ij} + (f_i f_j C_{ijk})_k,$$

that is (7.2).

If $\alpha \neq 0$, using equations (6.1) and (6.5) in (7.4) and simplifying we deduce

(7.5) $$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)\left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right]|D|^2 = -\beta(m-2)f_i f_j B_{ij} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\left[\beta - \frac{(m-2)\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right](f_i f_j D_{ijk})_k,$$

that is, equation (7.1). $\square$

Remark 7.2. In case $\alpha \neq 0$ equation (7.1) can be obtained in a direct way: one takes the second integrability condition (6.6), multiplies both members by $f_i f_j$ and simplifies, using the symmetries of the tensors involved and equation (6.5).

TH_BZDZ **Theorem 7.3.** *Let (M, g) be a complete nondegenerate gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. If $B(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0$ and f is proper, then $D = 0$.*

Proof. We define the vector field $Y = Y(\alpha)$ of components

Def_Y (7.6)
$$Y_k = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f_i f_j D_{ijk} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0; \\ f_i f_j C_{ijk} & \text{if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the symmetries of D and C we immediately have

rthogtoNablaf (7.7)
$$g(Y, \nabla f) = 0.$$

If $B(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, from equation (7.1) we obtain

(7.8)
$$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)|D|^2 = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(f_i f_j D_{ijk})_k,$$

while if $\alpha = 0$ from equation (7.2) we deduce

(7.9)
$$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)|D|^2 = (f_i f_j C_{ijk})_k.$$

In both cases

rmDandDiverY (7.10)
$$\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)|D|^2 = \operatorname{div} Y.$$

Let now c be a regular value of f and Ω_c and Σ_c be, respectively, the corresponding sublevel set and level hypersurface, i.e. $\Omega_c = \{x \in M : f(x) \leq c\}$, $\Sigma_c = \{x \in M : f(x) = c\}$. Integrating equation (7.10) on Ω_c and using the divergence theorem we get

$$\int_{\Omega_c} \left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)|D|^2 = \int_{\Omega_c} \operatorname{div} Y = \int_{\Sigma_c} g(Y, \nu),$$

where ν is the unit normal to Σ_c. Since ν is in the direction of ∇f, using (7.7) and letting $c \to +\infty$ we immediately deduce

(7.11)
$$\int_M \left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)|D|^2 = 0,$$

which implies $D = 0$ on M. $\square$

Remark 7.4. The validity of Theorem 7.3 is based on that of the divergence theorem in this situation. Thus, instead of using properness of f, we can use Theorem A of [24] to obtain the above conclusion, that is $D \equiv 0$, under the following assumptions: for some $p > 1$, M is p-parabolic and the vector field $Y \in L^q(M)$, where q is the conjugate exponent of p. We note that a sufficient condition for p-parabolicity is

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\partial B_r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \notin L^1(+\infty)$$

(see e.g. [44]), and, according to (7.6), $Y \in L^q(M)$ in case for some pair of conjugate exponents P, P' we have

$$|\nabla f| \in L^{2Pq}(M) \qquad \text{and} \ \ |D| \in L^{P'q}(M) \ \text{ if } \ \alpha \neq 0$$

or

$$|\nabla f| \in L^{2Pq}(M) \qquad \text{and} \ \ |C| \in L^{P'q}(M) \ \text{ if } \ \alpha = 0.$$

Remark 7.5. A simple computation using the definition of the tensor D gives

f_iD_ijk

(7.12) $$f_i D_{ijk} = \frac{1}{m-1}(f_t f_k R_{tj} - f_t f_j R_{tk}),$$

and then

(7.13) $$f_i f_j D_{ijk} = \frac{1}{m-1}\Big(\text{Ric}\,(\nabla f, \nabla f) f_k - |\nabla f|^2 f_t R_{tk}\Big).$$

This shows that, in the case $\alpha \neq 0$, the vector field Y defined in (7.6) can be expressed in the remarkable form

(7.14) $$Y = \frac{\beta}{\alpha(m-1)}\Big[\text{Ric}\,(\nabla f, \nabla f)\nabla f - |\nabla f|^2\Big(\text{Ric}\,(\nabla f, \cdot)^\sharp\Big)\Big],$$

where $\sharp$ denotes the usual musical isomorphism.

Moreover, in the special case of a gradient Ricci soliton (M, g, f, λ), using the fundamental relation $S_k = 2f_t R_{tk}$, the vector field Y can also be written in the equivalent form

$$Y = \frac{1}{2(m-1)}\Big[g(\nabla S, \nabla f)\nabla f - |\nabla f|^2 \nabla S\Big].$$

We also observe that

$$g(Y, \nabla f) = 0, \quad g(Y, \nabla S) = \frac{1}{2(m-1)}\Big[g(\nabla S, \nabla f)^2 - |\nabla S|^2 |\nabla f|^2\Big] \leq 0$$

and that

$$|Y|^2 = \frac{1}{4(m-1)^2}|\nabla f|^2 \Big[|\nabla S|^2 |\nabla f|^2 - g(\nabla S, \nabla f)^2\Big] = -\frac{1}{2(m-1)}|\nabla f|^2 g(Y, \nabla S) \geq 0.$$

Remark 7.6. In case $\beta = 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, using Remark 6.6 and arguing as in Lemma 7.1, one can obtain the following identity

$$\frac{\alpha}{2\mu}|C|^2 = (m-2)f_i f_j B_{ij} - (f_i f_j C_{ijk})_k.$$

Then, following the proof of Theorem 7.3, we obtain

PR_betanullo

Proposition 7.7. *Let (M, g) be a complete nondegenerate gradient Einstein-type manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$ and with $\beta = 0$. If $B(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0$ and f is proper, then $C = 0$.*

8. D AND THE GEOMETRY OF THE LEVEL SETS OF f

sec_7

In this section we relate the tensor D to the geometry of the regular level sets of the potential function f. Our first result highlights, in the case $\alpha \neq 0$, the link between the squared norm of the tensor D and the second fundamental form of the level sets of f. This should be compared with [8, Proposition 3.1] and [7, Lemma 4.1]. For the case $\alpha = 0$ we refer to [27, Proposition 2.3].

From now on, we extend our index convention assuming $1 \leq i, j, k, \ldots \leq m$ and $1 \leq a, b, c, \ldots \leq m-1$.

PR_Dsquared&H

Proposition 8.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete m-dimensional ($m \geq 3$) gradient Einstein-type manifold with $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. Let c be a regular value of f and let $\Sigma_c = \{x \in M | f(x) = c\}$ be the corresponding level hypersurface. For $p \in \Sigma_c$ choose an orthonormal frame such that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{m-1}\}$ are tangent to Σ_c and $e_m = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$ (i.e., $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{m-1}, e_m\}$ is a local first order frame along f). Then, in p, the squared norm of the tensor D can be written as*

EQ_d2mad

(8.1) $$|D|^2 = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 \frac{2|\nabla f|^4}{(m-2)^2}|h_{ab} - h\delta_{ab}|^2 + \frac{2|\nabla f|^2}{(m-1)(m-2)}R_{am}R_{am},$$

where h_{ab} are the coefficients of the second fundamental tensor and h is the mean curvature of Σ_c.

Remark 8.2. Note that $|h_{ab} - h\delta_{ab}|^2$ is the squared norm of the traceless second fundamental tensor Φ of components $\Phi_{ab} = h_{ab} - h\delta_{ab}$.

Proof. First of all, we observe that, in the chosen frame, we have

$$EQ\_compfSigma \qquad (8.2) \qquad df = f_a\theta^a + f_m\theta^m = |\nabla f|\theta^m,$$

since $f_a = 0$, $a = 1, \ldots, m-1$.

The second fundamental tensor II of the immersion $\Sigma_c \hookrightarrow M$ is

$$II = h_{ab}\theta^b \otimes \theta^a \otimes \nu,$$

where the coefficients $h_{ab} = h_{ba}$ are defined as

$$(8.3) \qquad \nabla e_m = \nabla\nu = \theta_m^a \otimes e_a = -\theta_a^m \otimes e_a = -h_{ab}\theta^b \otimes e_a$$

(see also [34]), so that

$$(8.4) \qquad h_{ab} = g(II(e_a, e_b), \nu) = -g(\nabla_{e_a}\nu, e_b) = -(\nabla\nu)^\flat(e_a, e_b).$$

In the present setting we have

$$\nabla\nu = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\nabla(\nabla f) + \nabla\left(\frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\right) \otimes \nabla f$$

and

$$(\nabla\nu)^\flat = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\operatorname{Hess}(f) + d\left(\frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\right) \otimes df,$$

thus, using equation (6.1), we deduce

$$EQ\_hab \qquad (8.5) \qquad h_{ab} = -\frac{1}{|\nabla f|}f_{ab} = \frac{1}{\beta|\nabla f|}[\alpha R_{ab} - (\rho S + \lambda)\delta_{ab}],$$

The mean curvature h is defined as $h = \frac{1}{m-1}h_{aa}$; tracing equation (8.5) we get

$$MeanCurvature \qquad (8.6) \qquad h = \frac{1}{\beta|\nabla f|}\left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{m-1} - \rho\right)S - \frac{\alpha}{m-1}R_{mm} - \lambda\right].$$

Now we compute the squared norm of the traceless second fundamental tensor Φ:

$$EQ\_PHI \qquad (8.7)$$

$$|h_{ab} - h\delta_{ab}|^2 = |h_{ab}|^2 - 2hh_{aa} + (m-1)h^2 = |h_{ab}|^2 - (m-1)h^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta^2|\nabla f|^2}\left\{[\alpha R_{ab} - (\rho S + \lambda)\delta_{ab}]^2 - (m-1)\left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{m-1} - \rho\right)S - \frac{\alpha}{m-1}R_{mm} - \lambda\right]^2\right\}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2|\nabla f|^2}\left\{|\operatorname{Ric}|^2 - 2R_{am}R_{am} - (R_{mm})^2 - \frac{1}{m-1}\left[S^2 - 2SR_{mm} + (R_{mm})^2\right]\right\}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2|\nabla f|^2}\left[|\operatorname{Ric}|^2 - 2R_{am}R_{am} - \frac{m}{m-1}(R_{mm})^2 - \frac{1}{m-1}S^2 + \frac{2}{m-1}SR_{mm}\right].$$

On the other hand, from the definition of D we have

EQ_Dsq

$$(8.8) \quad |D|^2 = \frac{(f_k R_{ij} - f_j R_{ik})^2}{(m-2)^2} + \frac{(f_t R_{tk}\delta_{ij} - f_t R_{tj}\delta_{ik})^2}{(m-1)^2(m-2)^2} + \frac{S^2}{(m-1)^2(m-2)^2}(f_k\delta_{ij} - f_j\delta_{ik})^2$$

$$+ \frac{2}{(m-1)(m-2)^2}(f_k R_{ij} - f_j R_{ik})(f_t R_{tk}\delta_{ij} - f_t R_{tj})$$

$$- \frac{2S}{(m-1)(m-2)^2}(f_k R_{ij} - f_j R_{ik})(f_k\delta_{ij} - f_j\delta_{ik})$$

$$- \frac{2S}{(m-1)^2(m-2)^2}(f_t R_{tk}\delta_{ij} - f_t R_{tj}\delta_{ik})(f_k\delta_{ij} - f_j\delta_{ik})$$

$$= \frac{2|\nabla f|^2}{(m-2)^2}\Big(|\mathrm{Ric}|^2 - R_{am}R_{am} - R_{mm}R_{mm}\Big) + \frac{2|\nabla f|^2}{(m-1)(m-2)^2}(R_{am}R_{am} + R_{mm}R_{mm})$$

$$+ \frac{2S^2}{(m-1)(m-2)^2}|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{4|\nabla f|^2}{(m-1)(m-2)^2}\Big(SR_{mm} - (R_{mm})^2 - R_{am}R_{am}\Big)$$

$$- \frac{4S|\nabla f|^2}{(m-1)(m-2)^2}(S - R_{mm}) - \frac{4S|\nabla f|^2}{(m-1)(m-2)^2}R_{mm}.$$

Symplifying, rearranging and comparing (8.7) and (8.8) we arrive at

$$(8.9) \qquad \frac{(m-2)^2}{2|\nabla f|^2}|D|^2 = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 |\nabla f|^2 |h_{ab} - h\delta_{ab}|^2 + \left(\frac{m-2}{m-1}\right)R_{am}R_{am},$$

which easily implies equation (8.1).

$\square$

Remark 8.3. We explicitly note that $R_{am}R_{am}$ is a *globally* defined quantity (since $|D|^2$, $|\nabla f|^2$ and $|h_{ab} - h\delta_{ab}|^2$ are globally defined), but R_{am} is only *locally* defined. This implies that, if $R_{am} = 0$ on the open set where the local frame $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ is defined, then $dR_{am} = 0$ but $R_{am,k}$ is not necessarily zero (see the proof of Proposition 8.5 below).

Proposition 8.1 is one of the key ingredients in the proof of the following theorem, which generalizes [8, Proposition 3.2] (compare also with in [27, Proposition 2.4]). Our proof is similar to those in [8] and [27], but the presence of μ and the nonconstancy of λ require extra care, in particular in showing that S is constant on Σ_c.

tiesOnSigmac

Theorem 8.4. *Let (M, g) be a complete m-dimensional, $m \geq 3$, gradient Einstein-type manifold with $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ and tensor $D \equiv 0$. Let c be a regular value of f and let $\Sigma_c = \{x \in M | f(x) = c\}$ be the corresponding level hypersurface. Choose any local orthonormal frame such that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{m-1}, e_m\}$ are tangent to Σ_c and $e_m = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$ (i.e., $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{m-1}\}$ is a first order frame along f). Then*

(1) *$|\nabla f|^2$ is constant on Σ_c;*

(2) *$R_{am} = R_{ma} = 0$ for every $a = 1, \ldots, m-1$ and e_m is an eigenvector of Ric;*

(3) *Σ_c is totally umbilical;*

(4) *the mean curvature h is constant on Σ_c;*

(5) *the scalar curvature S and λ are constant on Σ_c;*

(6) *Σ_c is Einstein with respect to the induced metric;*

(7) *on Σ_c the (components of the) Ricci tensor of M can be written as $R_{ab} = \frac{S - \Lambda_1}{m-1}\delta_{ab}$, where $\Lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity 1 or m (and in this latter case $S = m\Lambda_1$); in either case e_m is an eigenvector associated to Λ_1.*

Proof. If $D = 0$, from Proposition 8.1 we immediately deduce that

EQ_umbilicity

$$(8.10) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad h_{ab} - h\delta_{ab} = 0,$$

that is, property (3), and

EQ_Ram=0

$$(8.11) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad R_{am} = 0, \qquad a = 1, \ldots, m-1.$$

From (8.10) a simple computation using (8.5) and (8.6) shows that

$$R_{ab} = \frac{S - R_{mm}}{m - 1}\delta_{ab},$$

(8.12)

which also implies

(8.13)
$$\mathrm{Ric}\,(\nu, \nu) = \frac{R_{ij}f_i f_j}{|\nabla f|^2} = R_{mm} = R_{mm}|\nu|^2;$$

this complete the proof of (2). To prove (1) we take the covariant derivative of $\beta|\nabla f|^2$ and use (6.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta\Big(|\nabla f|^2\Big)_k &= 2\beta f_i f_{ik} \\
&= 2\Big[\Big(\rho S + \lambda - \mu|\nabla f|^2\Big)f_k - \alpha f_t R_{tk}\Big] \\
&= 2\Big[\Big(\rho S + \lambda - \mu|\nabla f|^2\Big)f_k - \alpha f_c R_{ck} - \alpha|\nabla f|R_{mk}\Big];
\end{aligned}$$

evaluating the previous relation at $k = a$ and using property (2) we immediately get

$$\Big(|\nabla f|^2\Big)_a = 0,$$

that is (1). To prove (4) we start from Codazzi equations, that in our setting read

(8.14)
$$-R_{mabc} = h_{ab,c} - h_{ac,b};$$

tracing with respect to a and c we get

$$-R_{maba} = -R_{mkbk} + R_{mmbm} = h_{ab,a} - h_{aa,b},$$

that is, using (2),

(8.15)
$$0 = -R_{mb} = h_{ab,a} - h_{aa,b}.$$

On the other hand, from (3) we have

$$h_{ab,a} = h_b$$

and

$$h_{aa,b} = (m - 1)h_b,$$

so that (8.15) immediately implies

(8.16)
$$0 = (m - 2)h_b, \quad b = 1, \ldots, m - 1,$$

that is (4). To show the validity of (5) we first observe that, evaluating (6.12) at $k = a$ and using (2), we deduce

$$[\alpha - 2\rho(m - 1)]S_a - 2(m - 1)\lambda_a = 0,$$

which implies

(8.17)
$$[\alpha - 2\rho(m - 1)]S - 2(m - 1)\lambda = \mathrm{const.} \quad \mathrm{on}\ \Sigma_c.$$

From equation (8.6), the constancy of h and of $|\nabla f|$ on Σ_c also give that

(8.18)
$$[\alpha - \rho(m - 1)]S - \alpha R_{mm} - (m - 1)\lambda = \mathrm{const.} \quad \mathrm{on}\ \Sigma_c.$$

Combining (8.17) and (8.18) we arrive at

(8.19)
$$S - 2R_{mm} = \mathrm{const.} \quad \mathrm{on}\ \Sigma_c.$$

Now we evaluate (6.12) at $k = m$, we use (2) and rearrange to deduce

(8.20)

$$[\alpha - 2\rho(m-1)]S_m = 2\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha\mu}{\beta}\right)|\nabla f|R_{mm} + 2(m-1)\lambda_m - \frac{2\mu|\nabla f|}{\beta}\{[\alpha - \rho(m-1)]S - (m-1)\lambda\}$$

$$= 2\beta|\nabla f|R_{mm} + 2(m-1)\lambda_m - \frac{2\mu|\nabla f|}{\beta}\{[\alpha - \rho(m-1)]S - \alpha R_{mm} - (m-1)\lambda\}.$$

Since by (1) and (8.18) the quantity $\frac{2\mu|\nabla f|}{\beta}\{[\alpha - \rho(m-1)]S - \alpha R_{mm} - (m-1)\lambda\}$ is constant on Σ_c we infer

| EQ_SmRmmLambdam | (8.21) | $[\alpha - 2\rho(m-1)]S_m - 2\beta|\nabla f|R_{mm} - 2(m-1)\lambda_m = $ const. on Σ_c. |

Now we take the covariant derivative of (8.21) and evaluate at $k = a$ to obtain

| EQ_SLambdaam | (8.22) | $[\alpha - 2\rho(m-1)]S_{ma} - 2\beta|\nabla f|R_{mm,a} - 2(m-1)\lambda_{ma} = 0$ on Σ_c; |

but $S_{ma} = S_{am}$ and $\lambda_{ma} = \lambda_{am}$, thus (8.22) can be written as

(8.23)

$$\{[\alpha - 2\rho(m-1)]S - 2(m-1)\lambda\}_{am} = 2\beta|\nabla f|R_{mm,a} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_c,$$

which implies, by (8.17), that

(8.24)

$$R_{mm} = \text{const.} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_c.$$

The previous relation, (8.19) and (8.17) show that S and λ are constant on Σ_c, that is (5). To prove (6) we start from the Gauss equations

$$^{\Sigma_c}R_{abcd} = R_{abcd} + h_{ac}h_{bd} - h_{ad}h_{bc},$$

which by property (3) can be rewritten as

| EQ_GaussSigma | (8.25) | $^{\Sigma_c}R_{abcd} = R_{abcd} + h^2(\delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} - \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}).$ |

Tracing equation (8.25) with respect to b and d gives

| EQ_GaussSigmatraced | (8.26) | $^{\Sigma_c}R_{ac} = R_{ac} - R_{amcm} + (m-2)h^2\delta_{ac};$ |

tracing again we deduce

| EQ_Gaussmatracedagain | (8.27) | $^{\Sigma_c}S = S - 2R_{mm} + (m-1)(m-2)h^2 = $ const. on Σ_c. |

Now a simple computation using decomposition (2.1) of the Riemann tensor, equation (8.12) and the fact that $W_{amcm} = 0$ (see Proposition 8.5) shows that

| EQ_Ramcm | (8.28) | $R_{amcm} = \frac{1}{m-1}R_{mm}\delta_{ac}.$ |

Next, inserting (8.12) and (8.28) into (8.26), we get

| EQ_EinsteinSigmac | (8.29) | $^{\Sigma_c}R_{ac} = \left[\frac{S - 2R_{mm}}{m-1} + (m-2)h^2\right]\delta_{ac},$ |

which shows the validity of (6). Now (7) is an easy consequence of the other properties. $\square$

The next two results are the analogue of [8, Lemma 4.2] and [8, Lemma 4.3], respectively.

| EQ_vanishingOfC | **Proposition 8.5.** *Let (M, g) be a complete noncompact m-dimensional $(m \geq 3)$ nondegenerate Einstein-type manifold with $\alpha \neq 0$. If $D = 0$ then $C = 0$ at all points where $\nabla f \neq 0$.* |

Proof. We choose a local first order frame along f (so that $f_a = 0$, $a = 1, \ldots, m-1$ and $f_m = |\nabla f|$). The vanishing of D implies, by the first integrability condition (6.5), that

$$\alpha C_{ijk} + \beta f_t W_{tijk} = 0,$$

which implies, since $\alpha \neq 0$,

(8.30)
$$C_{ijk} = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha} f_t W_{tijk}$$

and consequently

(8.31)
$$f_i C_{ijk} = f_m C_{mjk} = |\nabla f| C_{mjk} = 0, \ \ j, k = 1, \ldots, m;$$

thus

(8.32)
$$C_{mjk} = 0.$$

Using (3) and (4) of Theorem 8.4 we have

(8.33)
$$h_{ab,c} = 0,$$

and from the Codazzi equations we get

(8.34)
$$-R_{mabc} = h_{ab,c} - h_{ac,b} = 0;$$

since also $R_{am} = 0$ by (2) of Theorem 8.4, from the decomposition (2.1) we easily deduce

(8.35)
$$W_{ambc} = 0,$$

which implies by (8.30) that

(8.36)
$$C_{abc} = 0.$$

By the symmetries of C, to conclude it only remains to show that $C_{abm} = 0 = C_{amb}$. First we observe that $R_{am} = 0$ implies, by the definition of covariant derivative,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = dR_{am} \\
= R_{km}\theta_a^k + R_{ak}\theta_m^k + R_{am,k}\theta^k \\
= R_{bm}\theta_a^b + R_{mm}\theta_a^m + R_{ab}\theta_m^b + R_{am}\theta_m^m + R_{am,k}\theta^k \\
= R_{mm}\theta_a^m + R_{ab}\theta_m^b + R_{am,k}\theta^k,
\end{aligned}$$

so that, using (8.12),

(8.37)
$$\begin{aligned}
R_{am,k}\theta^k = R_{am,b}\theta^b + R_{am,m}\theta^m = R_{ab}\theta_b^m - R_{mm}\theta_a^m \\
= \left(\frac{S - R_{mm}}{m-1}\delta_{ab}\right)\theta_b^m - R_{mm}\theta_a^m \\
= \left(\frac{S - mR_{mm}}{m-1}\right)\theta_a^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we want to show that $R_{am,m} = 0$. To see that we first evaluate equation (6.1) for $i = a$ and $j = m$, obtaining $f_{am} = 0$; then we take the covariant derivative of the same equation:

(8.38)
$$\alpha R_{ij,k} + \beta f_{ijk} + \mu(f_{ik}f_j + f_i f_{jk}) = (\rho S_k + \lambda_k)\delta_{ij},$$

which for $i = k = m$, $j = a$ gives (using $f_{am} = 0$)

(8.39)
$$\alpha R_{am,m} = -\beta f_{mam};$$

but

$$f_{mam} = f_{mma} + f_i R_{imam} = f_{mma},$$

while (6.2) and Theorem 8.4 tell us that the (globally defined) quantity Δf is constant on Σ_c, so that

(8.40)
$$(\Delta f)_a = 0.$$

On the other hand, from (6.1) and (8.12) we deduce

EQ_fabdelta (8.41)
$$\beta f_{ab} = -\frac{1}{m-1}\{[\alpha - \rho(m-1)]S - \alpha R_{mm} - (m-1)\lambda\}\delta_{ab} - \frac{1}{m-1}f_{cc}\delta_{ab}$$

which implies, by tracing, that

(8.42)
$$\beta(\Delta f - f_{mm}) = \text{const.} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_c;$$

in particular

(8.43)
$$f_{mam} = f_{mma} = (\Delta f)_a = 0,$$

and thus

(8.44)
$$R_{am,m} = 0.$$

Getting back to equation (8.37) we now have

(8.45)
$$R_{am,b}\theta^b = \left(\frac{S - mR_{mm}}{m-1}\right)\theta_a^m,$$

and thus

EQ_Rambfab (8.46)
$$R_{am,b} = \left(\frac{S - mR_{mm}}{m-1}\right)\theta_a^m(e_b)$$
$$= \frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\left(\frac{mR_{mm} - S}{m-1}\right)f_{ab}.$$

Schur's identity implies

EQ_Schurm (8.47)
$$S_m = 2R_{im,i} = 2R_{am,a} + 2R_{mm,m};$$

from the definition of C we have, using (8.12) and (8.46),

EQ_Cabm1 (8.48)
$$C_{abm} = R_{ab,m} - R_{am,b} - \frac{1}{2(m-1)}S_m\delta_{ab}$$
$$= \frac{S_m - R_{mm,m}}{m-1}\delta_{ab} + \frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\left(\frac{s - mR_{mm}}{m-1}\right)f_{ab} - \frac{1}{2(m-1)}S_m\delta_{ab}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2(m-1)}S_m\delta_{ab} - \frac{1}{m-1}R_{mm,m}\delta_{ab} + \frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\left(\frac{S - mR_{mm}}{m-1}\right)f_{ab}.$$

Using (8.47), (8.46) and (8.41) into (8.48) we arrive at

(8.49)
$$C_{abm} = \frac{1}{m-1}R_{cm,c}\delta_{ab} + \frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\left(\frac{S - mR_{mm}}{m-1}\right)f_{ab}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{m-1}\frac{1}{|\nabla f|}(S - mR_{mm,m})f_{ab} + \frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\left(\frac{S - mR_{mm}}{m-1}\right)f_{ab}$$
$$= 0,$$

concluding the proof. □

In dimension four, we can prove the following

vanishingOfW **Corollary 8.6.** *Let (M^4, g) be a complete noncompact nondegenerate Einstein-type manifold of dimension four with $\alpha \neq 0$. If $D = 0$ then $W = 0$ at all points where $\nabla f \neq 0$.*

Proof. From Proposition 8.5, we know that $C_{ijk} = 0$. Hence, from (6.5), we deduce $f_t W_{tijk} = 0$ for any $i, j, k = 1, \ldots, 4$. For any $p \in M^4$ such that $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$, we choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_4\}$ such that $e_4 = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$, thus we have

$$W_{4ijk}(p) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad i, j, k = 1, \ldots 4.$$

It remains to show that $W_{abcd}(p) = 0$ for any $a, b, c, d = 1, 2, 3$. This follows from the symmetries and the traceless property of the Weyl tensor (for instance, see [8, Lemma 4.3]). □

9. Proof of the main theorems and some geometric applications

In this last section we first prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. Then, we give some geometric applications in the special cases of gradient Ricci solitons, ρ-Einstein solitons and Ricci almost solitons. We begin with

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From Theorem 7.3 we know that the tensor D has to vanish on M. Let Σ be a regular level set of the function $f : M^m \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $|\nabla f| \neq 0$ on Σ, which exists by Sard's Theorem and the fact that f is nontrivial. By Theorem 8.4 (1) We have that $|\nabla f|$ has to be constant on Σ. Thus, in a neighborhood U of Σ which does not contain any critical point of f, the potential function f only depends on the signed distance r to the hypersurface Σ. Hence, by a suitable change of variable, we can express the metric g_{ij} as

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + g_{ab}(r,\theta)d\theta^a \otimes d\theta^b \,, \quad 0 < r < \infty \,,$$

where $(\theta^2, \cdots, \theta^m)$ is any local coordinates system on the level surface Σ. Moreover, by Theorem 8.4 (3)-(4), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{ab} = -2h_{ab} = \phi(r)g_{ab} \,,$$

where $\phi(r) = -2h(r)$. Thus, it follows easily that

$$g_{ab}(r,\theta) = e^{\Phi(r)} g_{ab}(1,\theta),$$

where

$$\Phi(r) = \int_1^r \phi(r)\,dr.$$

This proves that on U the metric g takes the form of a warped product metric:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + w(r)^2 g^E \,, \quad r \in (0, +\infty) \,,$$

where w is some positive smooth function on U, and $g^E = g^{\Sigma_1}$ is the metric defined on the level surface Σ_1, which is Einstein, by Theorem 8.4 (6). This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. The proof of Corollary 1.3 follows from all the previous considerations combined with Corollary 8.6.

$\square$

Next we show that the properness assumption on the potential function f in Theorem 1.2 is automatically satisfied by some classes of Einstein-type manifolds.

First of all, let (M,g) be a complete, noncompact, *gradient Ricci soliton* with potential function f. Then, it is well known that f is always proper, provided that the soliton is either shrinking [10, Theorem 1.1], or steady with positive Ricci curvature and scalar curvature attaining its maximum at some point [7, Proposition 2.3] or expanding with nonnegative Ricci curvature [6, Lemma 5.5]. Hence, in these cases, Theorem 1.2 provides a local version of the classification results obtained in [8] and [6].

Secondly, if (M,g) is a complete, noncompact, *gradient shrinking ρ-Einstein soliton* with $\rho > 0$ and bounded scalar curvature, then it follows by [18, Lemma 3.2] that the potential function f is proper. Hence, Theorem 1.2 implies the following

Theorem 9.1. *Let (M,g) be a complete, noncompact gradient shrinking ρ-Einstein soliton of dimension $m \geq 3$ with bounded scalar curvature and $\rho > 0$. If $B(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0$, then around any regular point of f the manifold (M,g) is locally a warped product with $(m-1)$-dimensional Einstein fibers.*

Finally, we want to show the following result concerning *gradient Ricci almost solitons* which are "strongly" shrinking.

TH_appl2 **Theorem 9.2.** *Let (M, g) be a complete, noncompact gradient Ricci almost soliton of dimension $m \geq 3$ with bounded Ricci curvature and with $\lambda \geq \underline{\lambda} > 0$, for some $\underline{\lambda}$. If $B(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0$, then around any regular point of f the manifold (M, g) is locally a warped product with $(m-1)$-dimensional Einstein fibers.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 it is sufficient to show that under these assumptions the potential function is proper. To do this we will apply a second variation argument as in [10, Theorem 1.1]. Let $r(x) = \mathrm{dist}_g(x, o)$, for some fixed origin $o \in M$. We will show that

$$f(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}\underline{\lambda}\big(r(x) - c\big)^2,$$

for some positive constant $c > 0$ depending only on m and on the geometry of g on the unit ball $B_o(1)$. Let $\gamma(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq s_0$ for some $s_0 > 0$, be any minimizing unit speed geodesic starting from $o = \gamma(0)$ and let $\dot{\gamma}(s)$ be the unit tangent vector of γ. Then by the second variation of the arc length, we have

$$\int_0^{s_0} \phi^2(s) \, \mathrm{Ric}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \, ds \leq (m-1) \int_0^{s_0} |\dot{\phi}(s)|^2 \, ds,$$

for every nonnegative function $\phi : [0, s_0] \to \mathbb{R}$. We choose $\phi(s) = s$ on $[0, 1]$, $\phi(s) = 1$ on $[1, s_0 - 1]$ and $\phi(s) = s_0 - s$ on $[s_0 - 1, s_0]$. Then, since the solitons has bounded Ricci curvature, one has

$$\int_0^{s_0} \mathrm{Ric}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \, ds \leq 2(m-1) + \max_{B_1(o)} |\mathrm{Ric}| + \max_{B_1(\gamma(s_0))} |\mathrm{Ric}| \leq C,$$

for some positive constant C independent of s_0. On the other hand, from the soliton equation, we have

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} f = \lambda - \mathrm{Ric}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}).$$

Integrating along γ, we get

$$\dot{f}\big(\gamma(s_0)\big) - \dot{f}\big(\gamma(0)\big) = \int_0^{s_0} \lambda \, ds - \int_0^{s_0} \mathrm{Ric}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \, ds \geq \underline{\lambda} \, s_0 - C.$$

Integrating again, we obtain the desired estimate

$$f\big(\gamma(s_0)\big) \geq \frac{1}{2}\underline{\lambda}\big(s_0 - c\big)^2.$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem. $\qquad\square$

Remark 9.3. As it is clear from the above proof, in case $\underline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda}(r)$ is such that $\frac{1}{\underline{\lambda}(r)} = o\big(\frac{1}{r^2}\big)$ as $r \to +\infty$ we have $f(r) \to +\infty$ as $r \to +\infty$. This suffices to prove 9.2.

To conclude, we note that Ricci almost solitons which are warped product were constructed in [41, Remark 2.6].

REFERENCES

Bach [1] R. Bach. Zur Weylschen Relativitätstheorie und der Weylschen Erweiterung des Krümmungstensorbegriffs. *Math. Z.*, 9(1-2):110–135, 1921.

Besse [2] A. Besse. *Einstein manifolds. Reprint of the 1997 edition.* Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

BMR_Osc [3] B. Bianchini, L. Mari, and M. Rigoli. On some aspects of oscillation theory and geometry. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 225(1056):vi+195, 2013.

jpb [4] J.-P. Bourguignon. Ricci curvature and Einstein metrics. In *Global differential geometry and global analysis (Berlin, 1979)*, volume 838 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 42–63. Springer, Berlin, 1981.

brendle [5] S. Brendle. Rotational symmetry of self-similar solutions to the Ricci flow. *Invent. Math.*, 194(3):731–764, 2013.

CaoChenMantMazz [6] H.-D. Cao, G. Catino, Q. Chen, C. Mantegazza, and L. Mazzieri. Bach-flat gradient steady Ricci solitons. *arXiv:1107.4591v2 [math.DG], to appear on Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 2011.

CaoChen_Steady [7] H.-D. Cao and Q. Chen. On locally conformally flat gradient steady Ricci solitons. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(5):2377–2391, 2012.

CaoChen [8] H.-D. Cao and Q. Chen. On Bach-flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(6):1149–1169, 2013.

HDCaoSunZhang [9] H.-D. Cao, X. Sun, and Y. Zhang. On the structure of gradient Yamabe solitons. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 19(4):767–774, 2012.

CaoZhou [10] H.-D. Cao and D. Zhou. On complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons. *J. Differential Geom.*, 85(2):175–185, 2010.

cwz_ShrinkingRS [11] X. Cao, B. Wang, and Z. Zhang. On locally conformally flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 13(2):269–282, 2011.

CaseShuWei [12] J. Case, Y.-J. Shu, and G. Wei. Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 29(1):93–100, 2011.

Catino_pinched [13] G. Catino. Complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with pinched curvature. *Math. Ann.*, 355(2):629–635, 2013.

CatMantEv [14] G. Catino and C. Mantegazza. The evolution of the Weyl tensor under the Ricci flow. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 61(4):1407–1435 (2012), 2011.

catmantmazz [15] G. Catino, C. Mantegazza, and L. Mazzieri. On the global structure of conformal gradient solitons with nonnegative Ricci tensor. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 14(6):1250045, 12, 2012.

quasiEinstein [16] G. Catino, C. Mantegazza, L. Mazzieri, and M. Rimoldi. Locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 675:181–189, 2013.

rhoEinstein1 [17] G. Catino and L. Mazzieri. Gradient Einstein solitons. *arXiv:1201.6620v4 [math.DG]*, 2012.

rhoEinstein2 [18] G. Catino, L. Mazzieri, and S. Mongodi. Rigidity of gradient Einstein shrinkers. *arXiv:1307.3131v1 [math.DG]*, 2013.

CerboDisconzi [19] L. F. Di Cerbo and M. M. Disconzi. Yamabe solitons, determinant of the Laplacian and the uniformization theorem for Riemann surfaces. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 83(1):13–18, 2008.

ChBookEigen [20] I. Chavel. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, volume 115 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984. Including a chapter by Burton Randol, With an appendix by Jozef Dodziuk.

DaskaSesum [21] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum. The classification of locally conformally flat Yamabe solitons. *Adv. Math.*, 240:346–369, 2013.

ELnM [22] M. Eminenti, G. La Nave, and C. Mantegazza. Ricci solitons: the equation point of view. *Manuscripta Math.*, 127:345–367, 2008.

FCS [23] D. Fisher-Colbrie and R. Schoen. The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 33:199–211, 1980.

GT_ppar [24] V. Gol′dshtein and M. Troyanov. The Kelvin-Nevanlinna-Royden criterion for p-parabolicity. *Math. Z.*, 232(4):607–619, 1999.

hamilton [25] R.S. Hamilton. *The Ricci flow on surfaces.* Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz,CA, 1986), volume 71 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 237–262. Am. Math. Soc., 1988.

HePetersenWylie [26] C. He, P. Petersen, and W. Wylie. On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 20(2):271–311, 2012.

HL_quasiYamabe [27] G. Huang and H. Li. On a classification of the quasi Yamabe gradient solitons. *arXiv:1108.6177v1 [math.DG]*, 2011.

Ivey [28] T. Ivey. Ricci solitons on compact three-manifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 3(4):301–307, 1993.

Jensen [29] G. R. Jensen. Einstein metrics on principal fibre bundles. *J. Differential Geometry*, 8:599–614, 1973.

kimkim [30] D.-S. Kim and Y. H. Kim. Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(8):2573–2576 (electronic), 2003.

MaCheng [31] L. Ma and L. Cheng. Properties of complete non-compact Yamabe solitons. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 40(3):379–387, 2011.

Rig_Curvature [32] P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli, and M. Rigoli. A note on curvature of Riemannian manifolds. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 399(2):505–513, 2013.

MasRigRim [33] P. Mastrolia, M. Rigoli, and M. Rimoldi. Some Geometric Analysis on Generic Ricci Solitons. *Comm. Contemp. Math.*, 15(03), 2013.

MasRigSet [34] P. Mastrolia, M. Rigoli, and A.G. Setti. *Yamabe-type equations on complete, noncompact manifolds*, volume 302 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2012.

Ri_triviality [35] P. Mastrolia and M. Rimoldi. Some triviality results for quasi-Einstein manifolds and Einstein warped products. *Geom. Dedicata*, 2013.

MPiepen [36] W. F. Moss and J. Piepenbrink. Positive solutions of elliptic equations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 75(1):219–226, 1978.

Naber [37] A. Naber. Noncompact shrinking four solitons with nonnegative curvature. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 645:125–153, 2010.

NiWallach [38] L. Ni and N. Wallach. On a classification of gradient shrinking solitons. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 15(5):941–955, 2008.

perelman1 [39] G. Perelman. The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. *arXiv:math/0211159v1 [math.DG]*, 2002.

petwylie3 [40] P. Petersen and W. Wylie. On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(4):2277–2300, 2010.

[41] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, M. Rimoldi, and A.G. Setti. Ricci almost solitons. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, X(4):757–799, 2011.

[42] S. Pigola, M. Rimoldi, and A. G. Setti. Remarks on non-compact gradient Ricci solitons. *Math. Z.*, 268(3-4):777–790, 2011.

[43] Y. Tashiro. Complete riemannian manifolds and some vector fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 117:251–275, 1965.

[44] M. Troyanov. Parabolicity of manifolds. *Siberian Adv. Math.*, 9(4):125–150, 1999.

[45] L. F. Wang. On noncompact quasi Yamabe gradient solitons. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 31(3):337–348, 2013.

[46] M. Y. Wang and W. Ziller. Existence and nonexistence of homogeneous Einstein metrics. *Invent. Math.*, 84(1):177–194, 1986.

[47] M. Y. Wang and W. Ziller. Einstein metrics on principal torus bundles. *J. Differential Geom.*, 31(1):215–248, 1990.

[48] Z.-H. Zhang. On the completeness of gradient Ricci solitons. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137:2755–2759, 2009.